arXiv:1112.4420v2 [gr-qc] 10 Feb 2012

ITP-UU-12/02, SPIN-12/02
UFIFT-QG-11-05

The Coincidence Limit of the Graviton Propagator in de Donder Gauge on de Sitter Background

E. O. Kahya*

Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institute, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena,
Max-Wien-Platz 1, D-07743 Jena, GERMANY

S. P. Miao†

Institute for Theoretical Physics & Spinoza Institute, Utrecht University
Leuvenlaan 4, Postbus 80.195, 3508 TD Utrecht, NETHERLANDS

R. P. Woodard‡

Department of Physics, University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611, UNITED STATES

ABSTRACT

We explicitly work out the de Sitter breaking contributions to the recent solution for the de Donder gauge graviton propagator on de Sitter. We also provide explicit power series expansions for the two structure functions, which are suitable for implementing dimensional regularization. And we evaluate the coincidence limit of the propagator.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 04.60-m, 98.80.Cq

* e-mail: emre-onur.kahya@uni-jena.de
† e-mail: S.Miao@uu.nl
‡ e-mail: woodard@phys.ufl.edu

1 Introduction

The naive Fourier mode sum for the propagator of a tachyonic scalar field fails to converge in flat space. No one considers this surprising because the modes with $\|\vec{k}\|^2 < -M^2$ are unstable. What these degrees of freedom really do is classically roll down the negative potential. The propagator equation can be solved, but the solutions break Poincaré invariance.

This sort of instability is more pronounced in an expanding universe with scale factor $a(t)$ because the physical momentum is $\|\vec{k}\|/a(t)$, so all modes are eventually redshifted past stability. One symptom of the problem is that even the massless, minimally coupled scalar suffers from it for sufficiently small deceleration [1]. As for flat space tachyons, the propagator equation can be solved but the solutions involve time dependent terms associated with the instability [2].

An interesting aspect of the problem is that, except for certain discrete values of the deceleration parameter, the use of analytic continuation techniques gives a formal solution to the propagator equation without this time dependence [3]. In fact the naive Fourier mode sum is always infrared divergent but, for most values of the deceleration parameter this divergence is of the power law type which drops out when analytic continuation techniques are employed. The problematic discrete values of the deceleration parameter are just those for which either the primary infrared divergence, or a subdominant one, happens to be logarithmic [2]. So the correct result is that the formal solutions which continue around power law divergences do not represent true propagators, which is of course liable to happen whenever one only solves the propagator equation without constructing it from a mode sum [4].

The de Sitter geometry brings the problem into sharp focus because it is the most negative deceleration consistent with classical stability and because it possesses a maximal isometry group analogous to Poincaré invariance. The breaking of de Sitter invariance was first noted in the coincidence limit of the massless, minimally coupled scalar propagator in 1982 [5]. Five years later Allen and Folacci gave a formal proof that no de Sitter invariant solution exists [6]. As with sufficiently small deceleration, endowing the scalar with a tachyonic mass gives formal de Sitter invariant solutions, except at the discrete values of the mass for which one of the power law infrared divergences becomes logarithmic [7]. Also as before, these formal solutions are not true propagators.

Because free dynamical gravitons obey the same equation as massless, minimally coupled scalars [8] it has long been obvious that the graviton propagator must suffer from the same problem as its scalar cousin [9]. Indeed, an explicit mode sum construction for the graviton propagator in a non-de Sitter invariant gauge [10] shows physical de Sitter breaking even after the compensating gauge transformation is added to restore the gauge condition [11]. This conclusion was for years disputed by mathematical physicists on the grounds that they could find explicit, de Sitter invariant solutions by adding covariant gauge fixing terms to the action and then analytically continuing from Euclidean space [12]. However, it was recently demonstrated that there is an obstacle to adding covariant gauge fixing terms to a gauge theory on any manifold which suffers from a linearization instability [13]. Ignoring this problem in Feynman gauge [14] leads to unphysical singularities at one loop order in scalar quantum electrodynamics [15].

One can still enforce exact gauge conditions, but it was long ago found that insisting on a de Sitter invariant solution for certain exact gauges results in infrared divergences [16, 17]. That conclusion was also dismissed by mathematical physicists on the grounds that the problem is limited to only discrete values of the two parameter family of covariant gauge conditions [18]. However, we can now recognize that, like the case of tachyonic scalars, the naive mode sum is always infrared divergent — and hence invalid. The only distinction of the special values of the gauge parameters is that, for these values one of the power law divergences happens to become logarithmic [7]. So the correct conclusion in all cases is that the graviton propagator breaks de Sitter invariance, and this was recently demonstrated by an explicit solution in de Donder gauge [19].

The goal of this paper is to put the de Donder gauge propagator [19] into a tractable form from which dimensional regularization computations can be performed. We shall also make the tensor structure of the de Sitter breaking parts explicit, and we shall evaluate the coincidence limit of the full propagator. The final results seem quite a bit more complicated than when a simple de Sitter breaking gauge is employed [10]. Two motivations for developing this gauge are:

- Avoiding the noninvariant counterterms which occur with a de Sitter breaking gauge; and
- Being able to check existing results [20, 21, 22, 23] for gauge dependence.

It might also be that the apparent complications of this gauge drop out when all the derivatives are acted and the indices are contracted. Exactly that occurs when using a de Sitter invariant solution for the Lorentz gauge photon propagator [24] to perform one and even two loop computations in scalar quantum electrodynamics [25].

This paper has seven sections of which the first is this introduction. In section 2 we review notation and some previous results [7, 13, 19] of great relevance to the current work. We also explain how the graviton propagator can be written in terms of differential projectors acting on a spin zero and a spin two structure function. Section 3 derives explicit results for each of the two structure functions. In sections 4 and 5 we act the differential projectors on the de Sitter invariant and de Sitter breaking parts. (Many technical details of this analysis are consigned to an Appendix.) The coincidence limit is taken in section 6, and section 7 gives our discussion.

2 Notation

This section reviews and consolidates notation and results introduced in earlier work. We begin by describing the coordinate system and tensor basis employed in this paper. We then present the solution for a general scalar propagator with a possibly tachyonic mass, and describe how to integrate such propagators. The section closes with a review of the general form of the graviton propagator in de Donder gauge.

2.1 Working on de Sitter

We work on the D-dimensional open conformal submanifold in which de Sitter can be imagined as a special case of the larger class of homogeneous, isotropic and spatially flat geometries relevant to cosmology. A spacetime point $x^\mu = (x^0, x^i)$ takes values in the ranges,

$$-\infty < x^0 < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty < x^i < +\infty \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, (D-1) \; . \tag{1}$$

In these coordinates the invariant element is,

$$ds^2 \equiv g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = a_x^2 \Bigl[-(dx^0)^2 + d\vec{x} \cdot d\vec{x} \Bigr] = a_x^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \; , \tag{2}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Lorentz metric and $a_x \equiv -1/Hx^0$ is the scale factor.

Although infrared divergences do introduce de Sitter breaking into the graviton propagator they do so in a limited way that leaves the largest part of the result de Sitter invariant. For dimensional regularization computations it is best to express this de Sitter invariant part in terms of the length function $y(x;z)$,

$$y(x;z) \equiv a_x a_z H^2 \Bigl[\Bigl\| \vec{x} - \vec{z} \Bigr\|^2 - \Bigl(|x^0 - z^0| - i\epsilon \Bigr)^2 \Bigr] \; . \tag{3}$$

Except for the factor of $i\epsilon$ (whose purpose is to enforce Feynman boundary conditions) the function $y(x;z)$ is closely related to the invariant length $\ell(x;z)$ from x^μ to z^μ,

$$y(x;z) = 4 \sin^2\Bigl(\frac{1}{2} H \ell(x;z) \Bigr) \; . \tag{4}$$

With this de Sitter invariant quantity $y(x;z)$, we can form a convenient basis of de Sitter invariant bi-tensors. Note that because $y(x;z)$ is de Sitter invariant, so too are covariant derivatives of it. With the metrics $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $g_{\mu\nu}(z)$, the first three derivatives of $y(x;z)$ furnish a convenient basis of de Sitter invariant bi-tensors [15],

$$\frac{\partial y(x;z)}{\partial x^\mu} = H a_x \Bigl(y \delta^0_\mu + 2 a_z H \Delta x_\mu \Bigr) \; , \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial y(x;z)}{\partial z^\nu} = H a_z \Bigl(y \delta^0_\nu - 2 a_x H \Delta x_\nu \Bigr) \; , \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 y(x;z)}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\nu} = H^2 a_x a_z \Bigl(y \delta^0_\mu \delta^0_\nu + 2 a_z H \Delta x_\mu \delta^0_\nu - 2 a_x \delta^0_\mu H \Delta x_\nu - 2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \Bigr) \; . \tag{7}$$

Here and subsequently we define $\Delta x_\mu \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} (x - z)^\nu$. Acting covariant derivatives generates more basis tensors, for example [15],

$$\frac{D^2 y(x;z)}{D x^\mu D x^\nu} = H^2 (2 - y) g_{\mu\nu}(x) \; , \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{D^2 y(x;z)}{D z^\mu D z^\nu} = H^2 (2 - y) g_{\mu\nu}(z) \; . \tag{9}$$

The contraction of any pair of the basis tensors also produces more basis tensors [15],

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu} = H^2 \Bigl(4y - y^2 \Bigr) = g^{\mu\nu}(z) \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\nu} \; , \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\sigma} &= H^2(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma} \; , && (11)\\
g^{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\rho} &= H^2(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \; , && (12)\\
g^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\nu \partial z^\sigma} &= 4H^4 g_{\rho\sigma}(z) - H^2\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma} \; , && (13)\\
g^{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\nu \partial z^\sigma} &= 4H^4 g_{\mu\nu}(x) - H^2\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu} \; . && (14)
\end{aligned}$$

The tensor structure of de Sitter breaking terms requires derivatives of the quantity $u(x;z) \equiv \ln(a_x a_z)$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu} = H a_x \delta^0_\mu \qquad , \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho} = H a_z \delta^0_\rho \; . \tag{15}$$

Covariant derivatives of the new tensors involve some extra identities in addition to those of $y(x;z)$ [7],

$$\frac{D^2 u}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} = -H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\nu} \quad , \quad \frac{D^2 u}{Dz^\mu Dz^\nu} = -H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(z) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\nu} \; . \tag{16}$$

There are also some new contraction identities,

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\nu} &= -H^2 = g^{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\sigma} \; , && (17)\\
g^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu} &= -H^2\Big[y-2+2\frac{a_z}{a_x}\Big] \; , && (18)\\
g^{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma} &= -H^2\Big[y-2+2\frac{a_x}{a_z}\Big] \; , && (19)\\
g^{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\nu \partial z^\rho} &= -H^2\Big[\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\rho} + 2\frac{a_z}{a_x}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}\Big] \; , && (20)\\
g^{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^\sigma} &= -H^2\Big[\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} + 2\frac{a_x}{a_z}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\Big] \; . && (21)
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 General Scalar Propagators

We work with a general scalar propagator $i\Delta_b(x;z)$ which obeys the equation,

$$\Big[\square + (b^2 - b_A^2)H^2\Big] i\Delta_b(x;z) = \frac{i\delta^D(x-z)}{\sqrt{-g}} \; . \tag{22}$$

Here and henceforth we define the index $b_A \equiv \frac{D-1}{2}$. For the case of $b < b_A$ the propagator has a positive mass-squared and its propagator is de Sitter invariant. We display its expansion for $b = \nu$,

$$i\Delta^{\rm dS}_{\nu}(x;z) = \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \Biggl\{ \Gamma\Bigl(\frac{D}{2}-1\Bigr)\Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-1} - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\nu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\nu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \\ \times \Biggl[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\nu+n)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-\nu+n)}{\Gamma(3-\frac{D}{2}+n)\,(n+1)!} \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} - \frac{\Gamma(b_A+\nu+n)\Gamma(b_A-\nu+n)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+n)\,n!} \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n} \Biggr] \Biggr\} . \quad (23)$$

For $b \geq b_A$ the naive mode sum would be infrared divergent so one must add a de Sitter breaking, infrared correction [6, 7]. We have constructed this correction to preserve the symmetries of homogeneity and isotropy,

$$\Delta^{\rm IR}_{\nu}(x;z) = \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(2\nu)}{\Gamma(b_A)\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \times \theta(\nu-b_A) \\ \times \sum_{N=0}^{[\nu-b_A]} \frac{(a_x a_z)^{\nu-b_A-N}}{\nu-b_A-N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Bigl(\frac{a_x}{a_z}+\frac{a_z}{a_x}\Bigr)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{[\frac{N-n}{2}]} C_{Nnm} (y-2)^{N-n-2m} \; , \quad (24)$$

where the coefficients C_{Nnm} are,

$$C_{Nnm} = \frac{(-\frac{1}{4})^N}{m!n!(N-n-2m)!} \times \frac{\Gamma(b_A+N+n-\nu)}{\Gamma(b_A+N-\nu)} \\ \times \frac{\Gamma(b_A)}{\Gamma(b_A+N-2m)} \times \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\nu+n+2m)} \times \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\nu+m)} \; . \quad (25)$$

The full propagator is therefore,

$$i\Delta_b(x;z) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow b} \Bigl[i\Delta^{\rm dS}_{\nu}(x;z) + \Delta^{\rm IR}_{\nu}(x;z) \Bigr] \; . \quad (26)$$

2.3 Integrating Scalar Propagators

Many of the "propagators" we employ are actually integrated propagators which obey the equation,

$$\Bigl[\square + (b^2-b_A^2)H^2 \Bigr] i\Delta_{bc}(x;z) = i\Delta_c(x;z) \; . \quad (27)$$

The solution is easily seen to be [7, 13],

$$i\Delta_{bc}(x;z) = \frac{1}{(b^2-c^2)H^2} \Bigl[i\Delta_c(x;z) - i\Delta_b(x;z) \Bigr] = i\Delta_{cb}(x;z) \; . \quad (28)$$

For the special case that the indices b and c agree one gets a derivative,

$$i\Delta_{bb}(x;z) = -\frac{1}{2bH^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b} i\Delta_b(x;z) \; . \tag{29}$$

We also employ a doubly integrated propagator which obeys the equation,

$$\Big[\square + (b^2 - b_A^2)H^2\Big] i\Delta_{bcd}(x;z) = i\Delta_{cd}(x;z) \; . \tag{30}$$

The solution can be written in a form which is manifestly symmetric under any interchange of the three indices a, b and c,

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta_{bcd}(x;z) &= \frac{i\Delta_{bd}(x;z) - i\Delta_{bc}(x;z)}{(c^2 - d^2)H^2} \; , &(31)\\ &= \frac{(d^2-c^2)i\Delta_b(x;z) + (b^2-d^2)i\Delta_c(x;z) + (c^2-b^2)i\Delta_d(x;z)}{(b^2-c^2)(c^2-d^2)(d^2-b^2)H^4} \; . &(32) \end{aligned}$$

The case in which two of the indices are the same gives,

$$i\Delta_{bcc}(x;z) = -\frac{1}{2cH^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial c} i\Delta_{bc}(x;z) = \frac{i\Delta_{cc}(x;z) - i\Delta_{bc}(x;z)}{(b^2 - c^2)H^2} \; . \tag{33}$$

And equating all three indices produces,

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta_{bbb}(x;z) &= -\frac{1}{2bH^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial b} i\Delta_{bc}(x;z)\Big\vert_{c=b} \; , &(34)\\ &= -\frac{1}{8b^3H^4}\Bigg[\frac{\partial}{\partial b} i\Delta_b(x;z) - b\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\Big)^2 i\Delta_b(x;z)\Bigg] \; . &(35) \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Form of the Graviton Propagator

The graviton propagator in de Donder gauge can be expressed as the sum of a spin zero part and a spin two part,

$$i\Big[{}_{\alpha\beta}\Delta_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) = i\Big[{}_{\alpha\beta}\Delta^0_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) + i\Big[{}_{\alpha\beta}\Delta^2_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) \; . \tag{36}$$

Each part is represented as product of differential projectors that enforce the gauge condition on each coordinate x^μ and z^μ, acting on a scalar structure function. For the spin zero part this form is,

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^0_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) = \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}(x) \times \mathcal{P}_{\rho\sigma}(z)\Big[\mathcal{S}_0(x;z)\Big] \; . \tag{37}$$

The projector $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}$ is,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} \equiv D_\mu D_\nu + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{D-2}\Bigl[\Box + 2(D-1)H^2\Bigr] \; . \tag{38}$$

The spin two part is more complicated,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^2_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z) = \frac{1}{4H^4}\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \times \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}(x;z)\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}(x;z)\mathcal{S}_2(x;z)\Bigr] \; . \tag{39}$$

The projector $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\Bigl(\frac{D-3}{D-2}\Bigr)\Biggl\{ & -\delta^{\alpha}_{(\mu}\delta^{\beta}_{\nu)}\Bigl[\Box - DH^2\Bigr]\Bigl[\Box - 2H^2\Bigr] + 2D_{(\mu}\Bigl[\Box + H^2\Bigr]\delta^{(\alpha}_{\nu)}D^{\beta)} \\ & - \Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Bigr)D_{(\mu}D_{\nu)}D^{(\alpha}D^{\beta)} + g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\Bigl[\frac{\Box^2}{D-1} - H^2\Box + 2H^4\Bigr] \\ & - \frac{D_{(\mu}D_{\nu)}}{D-1}\Bigl[\Box + 2(D-1)H^2\Bigr]g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{D-1}\Bigl[\Box + 2(D-1)H^2\Bigr]D^{(\alpha}D^{\beta)}\Biggr\} . \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

And $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}$ is the mixed derivative of the length function, normalized to give $\eta_{\alpha\kappa}$ in the flat space limit,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}(x;z) \equiv -\frac{1}{2H^2}\frac{\partial^2 y(x;z)}{\partial x^\alpha \partial z^\kappa} \; . \tag{41}$$

3 Expansions for the Structure Functions

The purpose of this section is to facilitate dimensional regularization computations by giving explicit expansions for the two scalar structure functions that appear in expressions (37) and (39), $\mathcal{S}_0(x;z)$ and $\mathcal{S}_2(x;z)$. Because the differential operators (38) and (40) can be simplified when one knows something about the function upon which they act, it is convenient to decompose each structure function into a de Sitter invariant part which depends only upon $y(x;z)$ and a de Sitter breaking part that also depends upon the scale factors a_x and a_z,

$$\mathcal{S}_i(x;z) = S_i(y) + \delta S_i(a_x, a_z, y) \; . \tag{42}$$

The fundamental expressions for each structure function involve the scalar propagators $i\Delta_b(x;z)$ described in the previous section. Four choices of the index b occur so frequently that they have merited a special notation,

$$b_B \equiv \Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!3}{2}\Bigr) \quad , \quad b_A \equiv \Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!1}{2}\Bigr) \quad , \quad b_W \equiv \Bigl(\frac{D\!+\!1}{2}\Bigr) \quad , \quad b_M \equiv \sqrt{\frac{(D\!-\!1)(D\!+\!7)}{4}} \; . \tag{43}$$

The B-type propagator corresponds to a positive mass-squared of $M_S^2 = (D-2)H^2$ and is de Sitter invariant; the others all have de Sitter breaking parts. The naive mode sums for the A-type propagator (with $M_S^2 = 0$) and the W-type propagator (with $M_S^2 = -DH^2$) both harbor logarithmic infrared divergences, which require combining the de Sitter breaking corrections $\Delta^{\rm IR}_{\nu}(x;z)$ with divergent Gamma functions in $\Delta^{\rm dS}_{\nu}(x;z)$ before taking the limit $\nu = b_A$ or $\nu = b_W$. The infrared divergences in the M-type propagator (with $M_S^2 = -2(D-1)H^2$) are of the power law type which do not require such care.

3.1 Spin Zero Part

The spin zero structure function can be successively decomposed into more and more explicit combinations of scalar propagators,

$$\mathcal{S}_0(x;z) = -2\Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!2}{D\!-\!1}\Bigr)\, i\Delta_{WMM}(x;z) \; , \tag{44}$$

$$= \frac{2}{(D\!-\!1)H^2}\Bigl[i\Delta_{MM}(x;z) \!-\! i\Delta_{MW}(x;z)\Bigr] \; , \tag{45}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(D\!-\!1)H^4}\Biggl\{-\frac{1}{b_M}\Bigl(\frac{\partial i\Delta_b}{\partial b}\Bigr)_M + \frac{2 i\Delta_M}{D\!-\!2} - \frac{2 i\Delta_W}{D\!-\!2}\Biggr\}. \tag{46}$$

Owing to the factors of $\square + 2(D-1)H^2$ in the spin zero projectors (38) it is also desirable to give explicit results for $i\Delta_{MW}(x;z)$ and $i\Delta_W(x;z)$,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^0_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z) = \frac{D^4 \mathcal{S}_0(x;z)}{Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu} Dz^{\rho} Dz^{\sigma}} - \frac{2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)}{D\!-\!1}\,\frac{D^2 i\Delta_{MW}}{Dz^{\rho} Dz^{\sigma}} - \frac{2 g_{\rho\sigma}(z)}{D\!-\!1}\,\frac{D^2 i\Delta_{MW}}{Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu}} - \frac{2 g_{\mu\nu}(x) g_{\rho\sigma}(z) i\Delta_W}{(D\!-\!2)(D\!-\!1)} \; . \tag{47}$$

As usual, we decompose them into de Sitter invariant and breaking parts,

$$i\Delta_{MW}(x;z) = MW(y) + \delta MW(a_x, a_z, y) \; , \tag{48}$$

$$i\Delta_W(x;z) = W(y) + \delta W(a_x, a_z, y) \; . \tag{49}$$

We begin with the de Sitter invariant parts. The function $W(y)$ enters the propagator without any derivatives so its leading singularity is $(4/y)^{\frac{D}{2}-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(y) = \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \Biggl\{ & \Gamma\Bigl(\frac{D}{2}-1\Bigr)\Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-1} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+2)}{(\frac{D}{2}-2)(\frac{D}{2}-1)}\Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-2} \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+3)}{2(\frac{D}{2}-3)(\frac{D}{2}-2)}\Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-3} + W_1 + W_2\Bigl(\frac{y-2}{4}\Bigr) \\
& + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\Biggl[\frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2}+2)(\frac{y}{4})^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2}}{(n-\frac{D}{2}+2)(n-\frac{D}{2}+1)(n+1)!} - \frac{\Gamma(n+D)(\frac{y}{4})^{n}}{n(n-1)\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2})}\Biggr]\Biggr\}, \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants W_1 and W_2 are,

$$
W_1 = \frac{\Gamma(D+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+1)}\Biggl\{\frac{D+1}{2D}\Biggr\}, \qquad (51)
$$

$$
W_2 = \frac{\Gamma(D+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+1)}\Biggl\{\psi\Bigl(-\frac{D}{2}\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{D+1}{2}\Bigr) - \psi(D+1) - \psi(1)\Biggr\}. \qquad (52)
$$

The function $MW(y)$ enters the graviton propagator with two derivatives so its leading singularity is $(4/y)^{\frac{D}{2}-2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
MW(y) = \frac{H^{D-4}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\Biggl\{ & -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)}{\frac{D}{2}-2}\Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-2} + \text{constant} \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Biggl[(MW)^{a}_{n}\Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} - (MW)^{b}_{n}\Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n}\Biggr]\Biggr\}. \qquad (53)
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients $(MW)^{a}_{n}$ and $(MW)^{b}_{n}$ are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(MW)^{a}_{n} = {} & \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2}+2)}{(D-2)(n-\frac{D}{2}+2)(n-\frac{D}{2}+1)(n+1)!} \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{2\Gamma(3-\frac{D}{2}+n)}\,\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+b_M+n)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-b_M+n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+b_M)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b_M)(n+1)!}\;, \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(MW)^{b}_{n} = {} & \frac{\Gamma(n+D)}{(D-2)\Gamma(n+\frac{D}{2})n(n-1)} \\
& + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+n)}\,\frac{\Gamma(b_A+b_M+n)\Gamma(b_A-b_M+n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+b_M)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b_M)n!}\;, \qquad (55)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we should point out the special definition for $(MW)^b_1$,

$$(MW)^b_1 \equiv \frac{W_2}{D-2} + \frac{2\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{(D-2)D} \frac{\Gamma(b_W+b_M)\Gamma(b_W-b_M)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+b_M)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b_M)} \; . \tag{56}$$

That brings us to $S_0(y)$ which enters the graviton propagator with four derivatives and accordingly begins with $(4/y)^{\frac{D}{2}-3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(y) = \Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Bigr) \frac{H^{D-6}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \Biggl\{ -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)}{(\frac{D}{2}-3)(\frac{D}{2}-2)} \Bigl(\frac{4}{y}\Bigr)^{\frac{D}{2}-3} + \text{constant} - (S_0)^b_1 \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr) \\ + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Biggl[(S_0)^a_n \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} - (S_0)^b_n \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^n \Biggr] \Biggr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

The coefficients are,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_0)^a_n &= -\frac{2(WM)^a_n}{D-2} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{2\Gamma(3-\frac{D}{2}+n)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+b_M+n)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-b_M+n)}{b_M\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+b_M)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b_M)(n+1)!} \\ &\quad \times \Biggl\{ \psi\Bigl(\frac{3}{2}+b_M+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{3}{2}-b_M+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}+b_M\Bigr) + \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}-b_M\Bigr) \Biggr\}, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_0)^b_n &= -\frac{2(WM)^b_n}{D-2} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+n)} \frac{\Gamma(b_A+b_M+n)\Gamma(b_A-b_M+n)}{b_M\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+b_M)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-b_M)n!} \\ &\quad \times \Biggl\{ \psi\Bigl(b_A+b_M+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(b_A-b_M+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}+b_M\Bigr) + \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}-b_M\Bigr) \Biggr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

An important point to note about the M-type contributions is that the infinite series terms do not vanish in $D = 4$ dimensions, in spite of the fact that the coefficients of the $(\frac{y}{4})^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2}$ and the $(\frac{y}{4})^n$ terms agree for $D = 4$. This is because both are multiplied by the Gamma function $\Gamma(1-\frac{D}{2})$ which diverges for $D = 4$.

Each of the de Sitter breaking parts is the sum of three terms:

1. A power of $a_x a_z$;
2. A power of $a_x a_z$ times $(y-2)$; and
3. A power of $a_x a_z$ times $(\frac{a_x}{a_z} + \frac{a_z}{a_x})$.

The two primitive contributions are [7],

$$\begin{aligned}\delta W &= k\Bigl\{4b_A^2 a_x a_z - b_A \ln(a_x a_z)(y\!-\!2) - \Bigl(\frac{a_x}{a_z}+\frac{a_z}{a_x}\Bigr)\Bigr\} \; , \qquad (60)\\ \delta M &= k_M\Bigl\{\frac{(a_x a_z)^{b_M-b_A}}{b_M\!-\!b_A} - \frac{(a_x a_z)^{b_M-b_A-1}}{b_M\!-\!b_A\!-\!1}\times\frac{(y\!-\!2)}{4b_A}\\ &\qquad\qquad - \frac{(a_x a_z)^{b_M-b_A-1}}{4b_A(b_M\!-\!1)}\times\Bigl(\frac{a_x}{a_z}+\frac{a_z}{a_x}\Bigr)\Bigr\} \; . \qquad (61)\end{aligned}$$

Recall b_A and b_M from (43). The constants k and k_M are,

$$k \equiv \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\frac{\Gamma(D\!-\!1)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \qquad , \qquad k_M \equiv \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\frac{\Gamma(b_M)\Gamma(2b_M)}{\Gamma(b_A)\Gamma(b_M\!+\!\frac12)} \; . \qquad (62)$$

It is useful to represent spacetime dependence using $y(x;z)$ and two de Sitter breaking combinations of the scale factors,

$$u \equiv \ln(a_x a_z) \qquad , \qquad v \equiv \ln\Bigl(\frac{a_x}{a_z}\Bigr) \; . \qquad (63)$$

Each of the three de Sitter breaking contributions takes the form,

$$f(u,v,y) = f_1(u) + f_2(u)\times(y\!-\!2) + f_3(u)\times\cosh(v) \; . \qquad (64)$$

Hence we need only give the functions $f_i(u)$ for each of the three combinations which enter expression (47). The simplest is,

$$\frac{-2}{(D\!-\!2)(D\!-\!1)}\,\frac{\delta W}{H^4} = f_1^W(u) + f_2^W(u)\times(y\!-\!2) + f_3^W(u)\times\cosh(v) \; , \qquad (65)$$

whose the coefficient functions are,

$$f_1^W = \frac{k}{H^4}\times -2\Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!1}{D\!-\!2}\Bigr)e^u \;\; , \;\; f_2^W = \frac{k}{H^4}\times\frac{u}{D\!-\!2} \;\; , \;\; f_3 = \frac{k}{H^4}\times\frac{4}{(D\!-\!2)(D\!-\!1)} \; . \qquad (66)$$

The next simplest combination is,

$$\frac{-2}{(D\!-\!1)}\frac{\delta MW}{H^2} = f_1^{MW}(u) + f_2^{MW}(u)\times(y\!-\!2) + f_3^{MW}(u)\times\cosh(v) \; . \qquad (67)$$

Its coefficient functions involve (66),

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^{MW}(u) &= f_1^W(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{e^{(b_M - b_A)u}}{(D-2) b_A (b_M - b_A)} \;, && (68) \\
f_2^{MW}(u) &= f_2^W(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{-e^{(b_M - b_W)u}}{4(D-2) b_A^2 (b_M - b_W)} \;, && (69) \\
f_3^{MW}(u) &= f_3^W(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{-e^{(b_M - b_W)u}}{2(D-2) b_A^2 (b_M - 1)} \;. && (70)
\end{aligned}$$

Of course the most complicated is δS_0 itself,

$$\delta S_0 = f_1^{S_0}(u) + f_2^{S_0}(u) \times (y-2) + f_3^{S_0}(u) \times \cosh(v) \;. \tag{71}$$

Its coefficient functions involve the constant $C_M \equiv 2\psi(b_M) + 2\ln(2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^{S_0}(u) &= f_1^{MW}(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{e^{(b_M - b_A)u}}{2 b_A b_M} \times \Bigl\{ \frac{-C_M - u}{b_M - b_A} + \frac{1}{(b_M - b_A)^2} \Bigr\}, && (72) \\
f_2^{S_0}(u) &= f_2^{MW}(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{e^{(b_M - b_W)u}}{8 b_A^2 b_M} \times \Bigl\{ \frac{C_M + u}{b_M - b_W} - \frac{1}{(b_M - b_W)^2} \Bigr\}, && (73) \\
f_3^{S_0}(u) &= f_3^{MW}(u) + \frac{k_M}{H^4} \times \frac{e^{(b_M - b_W)u}}{4 b_A^2 b_M} \times \Bigl\{ \frac{C_M + u}{b_M - 1} - \frac{1}{(b_M - 1)^2} \Bigr\}. && (74)
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Spin Two Part

The spin two structure function can also be decomposed into single index propagators and their derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_2(x;z) &= \frac{32}{(D-3)^2} \Bigl\{ i\Delta_{AAA}(x;z) - 2i\Delta_{AAB}(x;z) + i\Delta_{ABB}(x;z) \Bigr\}, && (75) \\
&= \frac{32}{(D-3)^2 H^4} \Bigl\{ \frac{1}{2(D-1)^2} \Bigl(\frac{\partial^2 i\Delta_b}{\partial b^2} \Bigr)_A - \frac{(2D-3)D}{(D-2)(D-1)^3} \Bigl(\frac{\partial i\Delta_b}{\partial b} \Bigr)_A \\
&\qquad + \frac{3 i\Delta_A}{(D-2)^2} - \frac{1}{(D-3)(D-2)} \Bigl(\frac{\partial i\Delta_b}{\partial b} \Bigr)_B - \frac{3 i\Delta_B}{(D-2)^2} \Bigr\}. && (76)
\end{aligned}$$

The spin two structure function can be acted on by up to eight derivatives in the graviton propagator so its leading singularity is $(\frac{4}{y})^{\frac{D}{2}-5}$,

$$S_2(y) = 32 \Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-3} \Bigr)^2 \frac{H^{D-6}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \Bigl\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}-1)(\frac{4}{y})^{\frac{D}{2}-5}}{4!(\frac{D}{2}-5)(\frac{D}{2}-4)(\frac{D}{2}-3)(\frac{D}{2}-2)} + \text{constant}$$

$$+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} (S_2)^a_n \times \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n-\frac{D}{2}+2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_2)^b_n \times \Bigl(\frac{y}{4}\Bigr)^{n} \Biggr\} . \qquad (77)$$

To specify the coefficients $(S_2)^a_n$ and $(S_2)^b_n$ it is useful to make the preliminary definitions,

$$\Psi^a_n(\nu) \equiv \psi\Bigl(\frac{3}{2}+\nu+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}+\nu\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{3}{2}-\nu+n\Bigr) + \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}-\nu\Bigr) \; , \qquad (78)$$

$$\Psi^b_n(\nu) \equiv \psi\Bigl(b_A+\nu+n\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}+\nu\Bigr) - \psi\Bigl(b_A-\nu+n\Bigr) + \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{2}-\nu\Bigr) \; . \qquad (79)$$

The coefficients in (77) are,

$$(S_2)^a_n = \frac{-\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+1+n)}{(D-2)^2(2-\frac{D}{2}+n)(n+1)!} \Biggl\{ \frac{\Psi^{a\prime}_n(b_A) + [\Psi^a_n(b_A)]^2}{2(D-1)^2} - \frac{\Psi^a_n(b_A)}{(D-1)^3}$$
$$- \frac{2\Psi^a_n(b_A)}{(D-2)(D-1)} + \frac{6}{(D-2)^2} - \frac{[3(D-3) + (\frac{D}{2}-2-n)\Psi^a_n(b_B)]}{(\frac{D}{2}+n)(D-3)(D-2)} \Biggr\} \; , \qquad (80)$$

$$(S_2)^b_n = -\frac{\Gamma(D-1+n)}{(D-2)^2 n \Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+n)} \Biggl\{ \frac{\Psi^{b\prime}_n(b_A) + [\Psi^b_n(b_A)]^2}{2(D-1)^2} - \frac{\Psi^b_n(b_A)}{(D-1)^3}$$
$$- \frac{2\Psi^b_n(b_A)}{(D-2)(D-1)} + \frac{6}{(D-2)^2} - \frac{[3(D-3) - n\Psi^b_n(b_B)]}{(D-2+n)(D-3)(D-2)} \Biggr\} \; . \qquad (81)$$

It might be worth noting that $\Psi^a_n(\nu)$ can be simplified,

$$\Psi^a_n(\nu) = -\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{2\nu}{(m+\nu+\frac{1}{2})(m-\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \; . \qquad (82)$$

This permits a more explicit form of $(S_2)^a_n$,

$$(S_2)^a_n = \frac{-\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+1+n)}{(D-2)^2(2-\frac{D}{2}+n)(n+1)!} \Biggl\{ \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(\frac{D}{2}+m)(\frac{D}{2}-1-m)}$$
$$\times \sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n} \frac{1}{(\frac{D}{2}+\ell)(\frac{D}{2}-1-\ell)} - \frac{2}{D-2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(\frac{D}{2}+m)(\frac{D}{2}-1-m)} + \frac{6}{(D-2)^2}$$
$$- \frac{3}{(D-2)(\frac{D}{2}+n)} - \frac{(\frac{D}{2}-2-n)}{(D-2)(\frac{D}{2}+n)} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(\frac{D}{2}+m-1)(\frac{D}{2}-2-m)} \Biggr\} . \qquad (83)$$

In comparison with its spin zero cousin the de Sitter breaking of the spin two structure function is simple. It derives entirely from the A type

k	$[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^k_{\rho\sigma}](x;z)$
1	$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu \partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial z^{\sigma)} \partial x^\nu}$
2	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^{\nu)} \partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}$
3	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\rho} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma}$
4	$H^2[g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\rho} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\sigma} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu} g_{\rho\sigma}(z)]$
5	$H^4 g_{\mu\nu}(x) g_{\rho\sigma}(z)$

Table 1: Tensor basis for representing the de Sitter invariant part of $i[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\rho\sigma}](x;z)$. See Table 2 for the nine de Sitter breaking basis tensors.

propagator and its derivatives. Further, its spacetime dependence is limited to powers of $\ln(4a_x a_z)$, with no dependence upon either y or $(\frac{a_x}{a_z} + \frac{a_z}{a_x})$. Our result for it is,

$$\delta S_2 = \frac{32k}{(D-3)^2 H^4} \Biggl\{ \frac{\ln^3(4a_x a_z)}{6(D-1)^2} + \Biggl[\frac{\psi(b_A)}{(D-1)^2} - \frac{(D-\frac{3}{2})D}{(D-2)(D-1)^3} \Biggr] \ln^2(4a_x a_z) \\ + \Biggl[\frac{\psi'(b_A) + 2\psi^2(b_A)}{(D-1)^2} - \frac{2(2D-3)D\psi(b_A)}{(D-2)(D-1)^3} + \frac{3}{(D-2)^2} \Biggr] \ln(4a_x a_z) \Biggr\} . \qquad (84)$$

4 de Sitter Invariant Tensor Structure

In this section we express the de Sitter invariant part of the propagator in terms of the five invariant basis tensors employed previously to represent the graviton self-energy [26], which are given in Table 1. That is, we represent the de Sitter invariant contributions to the spin zero and the spin two parts of the graviton propagator as linear combinations of the five basis tensors,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm dS}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z) = \sum_{k=1}^{5} \Bigl[\mathcal{C}^k_0(y) + \mathcal{C}^k_2(y)\Bigr] \times \Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^k_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z) \; . \qquad (85)$$

Each of the combination coefficients $\mathcal{C}^k_{0,2}(y)$ can be expressed in terms of the de Sitter invariant parts given in the previous section, and their derivatives. There does not seem to be any point to giving explicit series expansions for each coefficient.

For the spin zero case a useful preliminary result is,

$$\frac{D^2F(y)}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} = H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)(2-y)F'(y) + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu}F''(y) \; . \tag{86}$$

Now use this in (47) to find the five spin zero coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^1_0(y) &= 2S''_0(y) \; , & (87)\\
\mathcal{C}^2_0(y) &= 4S'''_0(y) \; , & (88)\\
\mathcal{C}^3_0(y) &= S''''_0(y) \; , & (89)\\
\mathcal{C}^4_0(y) &= -2S''_0(y) + (2-y)S'''_0(y) - \frac{2}{D-1}\frac{MW''(y)}{H^2} \; , & (90)\\
\mathcal{C}^5_0(y) &= -(2-y)S'_0(y) + (2-y)^2 S''_0(y) \\
& \qquad -\frac{4}{D-1}\frac{(2-y)MW'(y)}{H^2} - \frac{2}{(D-2)(D-1)}\frac{W(y)}{H^4} \; . & (91)
\end{aligned}$$

The de Sitter invariant contribution from the spin two part is,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm dS,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z) = \frac{1}{4H^4}\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \times \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}(x;z)\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}(x;z)S_2(y)\Bigr] \; . \tag{92}$$

From expression (40) we see that the transverse-traceless projector $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ contains four derivative operators. We must therefore work out what happens when a derivative acts on two factors of $\mathcal{R}$, for example,

$$\frac{D}{Dx^\alpha}\Bigl[\Bigl(\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\kappa\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\lambda\Bigr)F\Bigr] = (D+1)\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F + 2\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)}\frac{DF}{Dx^\alpha} \; . \tag{93}$$

It turns our that 11 similar identities are needed to act the first projector; they are given in the Appendix. With these identities it is straightforward but tedious to show,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-3}\Bigr)\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x)\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}F\Bigr] &= (D-2)(D+1)\Biggl\{H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{DF}{Dx^\nu} \\
& -\frac{1}{D-1}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{D^3F}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu Dx^\alpha} + \frac{1}{D-1}\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{D}{Dx^\nu}\square_x F\Biggr\} \\
& +\frac{(D-2)(D+1)}{D-1}\Biggl\{H^2 g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)\frac{D^2F}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} - \Bigl(\frac{D+1}{4}\Bigr)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{D^2F}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} \\
& -H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\square_x F + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\square_x F\Biggr\} + 2\Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Bigr)\Biggl\{DH^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \frac{D^2F}{Dx^\nu Dx^\alpha} - H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)\,\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\beta{}_{\lambda)} \frac{D^2F}{Dx^\alpha Dx^\beta}\Bigg\} - \Bigg\{\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\beta{}_{\lambda)} \\
&\times \frac{D^4F}{Dx^\alpha Dx^\beta Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} - 2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)} \frac{D^2}{Dx^\nu Dx^\alpha}\square_x F + \frac{1}{D-1} g_{\mu\nu}(x)\,\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\beta{}_{\lambda)} \\
&\times \frac{D^2}{Dx^\alpha Dx^\beta}\square_x F\Bigg\} - \Bigg\{\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\square_x^2 F - \frac{g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)}{D-1}\Big[g_{\mu\nu}\square_x - \frac{D^2}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu}\Big]\square_x F \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{4(D-1)H^2}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\Big[g_{\mu\nu}(x)\square_x - \frac{D^2}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu}\Big]\square_x F\Bigg\} . \qquad (94)
\end{aligned}
$$

Our result (94) can be greatly simplified by taking account of two facts:

- We must still act the operator $\mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)$, which annihilates any longitudinal or trace term; and
- The function F depends only upon y.

The first fact means that we can neglect total derivatives of D/Dz^κ or D/Dz^λ, and also any term containing $g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)$. For example, we can write,

$$
\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} = 4H^2 g_{\kappa\lambda}(z) - 4H^2 \mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\kappa \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \Longrightarrow -4H^2 \mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\kappa \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \; . \qquad (95)
$$

The second fact means that we can trade derivatives of x and z,

$$
\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{DF(y)}{Dx^\nu} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu}F'(y) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\nu}\frac{DF(y)}{Dz^\lambda} \; . \qquad (96)
$$

Exploiting the two facts together allows many simplifications, for example,

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{DF}{Dx^\nu} \Longrightarrow 2H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F \; . \qquad (97)
$$

Eight identities of this type are summarized in the Appendix. When these relations (180-187) are used we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \times \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)\Big[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}F(y)\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{D-3}{D-2}\Big)\mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)\Bigg[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\square\Big[\square - (D-2)H^2\Big]F(y)\Bigg] \; . \qquad (98)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to act the final projector by combining expressions (94) and (98). First we define the function $G(y)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) &\equiv -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\square}{H^2}\Big[\frac{\square}{H^2}-(D-2)\Big]S_2(y)\ , \qquad (99)\\ &= -\frac{1}{4}(4y-y^2)^2 S_2'''' - \Big(\frac{D+2}{2}\Big)(2-y)(4y-y^2)S_2''' + \frac{3D}{4}(4y-y^2)S_2'' \\ &\qquad -\frac{D(D+2)}{4}(2-y)^2 S_2'' + \frac{D(D-1)}{2}(2-y)S_2'\ . \qquad (100) \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging x and z and their respective index groups then allows us to read off the result from (94),

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-3}\Big)^2 i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm dS,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) &= (D-2)(D+1)\Big\{H^2\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\rho}\frac{DG}{Dz^{\sigma)}} \\ &-\frac{1}{D-1}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)}^{\ \ \alpha}\frac{D^3G}{Dz^{(\rho}Dz^{\sigma)}Dz^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{D-1}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\rho}\frac{D}{Dz^{\sigma)}}\square G\Big\} \\ &+\frac{(D-2)(D+1)}{D-1}\Big\{H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{D^2G}{Dz^{\rho}Dz^{\sigma}} - \Big(\frac{D+1}{4}\Big)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{D^2G}{Dz^{\rho}Dz^{\sigma}} \\ &-H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\sigma}\square G + \frac{1}{4}g_{\rho\sigma}(z)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\nu}}\square G\Big\} + 2\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\Big\{DH^2\mathcal{R}_{(\mu}^{\ \ \ \alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\rho} \\ &\times\frac{D^2G}{Dz^{\sigma)}Dz^{\alpha}} - H^2 g_{\rho\sigma}(z)\mathcal{R}_{(\mu}^{\ \ \ \alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)}^{\ \ \ \beta}\frac{D^2G}{Dz^{\alpha}Dz^{\beta}}\Big\} - \Big\{\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\mathcal{R}_{(\mu}^{\ \ \ \alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)}^{\ \ \ \beta} \\ &\times\frac{D^4G}{Dz^{\alpha}Dz^{\beta}Dz^{\rho}Dz^{\sigma}} - 2\mathcal{R}_{(\mu}^{\ \ \ \alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\rho}\frac{D^2}{Dz^{\sigma)}Dz^{\alpha}}\square G + \frac{1}{D-1}g_{\rho\sigma}(z)\mathcal{R}_{(\mu}^{\ \ \ \alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)}^{\ \ \ \beta} \\ &\times\frac{D^2}{Dz^{\alpha}Dz^{\beta}}\square G\Big\} - \Big\{\mathcal{R}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\sigma}\square^2 G - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}(x)}{D-1}\Big[g_{\rho\sigma}(z)\square - \frac{D^2}{Dz^{\rho}Dx^{\sigma}}\Big]\square G \\ &+\frac{1}{4(D-1)H^2}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\nu}}\Big[g_{\rho\sigma}(z)\square - \frac{D^2}{Dz^{\rho}Dz^{\sigma}}\Big]\square G\Big\}. \qquad (101) \end{aligned}$$

It remains just to act the derivatives in (101) and identify the coefficients of each of the five invariant tensors from Table 1. The result of acting the d'Alembertian on an invariant function is the same for $\square_x$ and $\square_z$,

$$\frac{\square_x}{H^2}G(y) = \frac{\square_z}{H^2}G(y) = (4y-y^2)G''(y) + D(2-y)G'(y)\ . \qquad (102)$$

The other derivatives we require are,

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial z^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\alpha}}G'(y)\ , \qquad (103)$$

$$\frac{D^2 G}{Dz^\alpha Dz^\beta} = H^2 g_{\alpha\beta} (2-y) G'(y) + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\beta} \, G''(y) \; , \tag{104}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D^3 G}{Dz^\alpha Dz^\beta Dz^\gamma} &= -H^2 g_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} G'(y) + 3 H^2 g_{(\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\gamma)}} (2-y) G''(y) \\ &\qquad + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\beta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\gamma} G'''(y) \; , \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D^4 G}{Dz^\alpha Dz^\beta Dz^\gamma Dz^\delta} &= -H^4 g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\delta} (2-y) G'(y) + 3 H^4 g_{\alpha(\beta} g_{\gamma\delta)} (2-y)^2 G''(y) \\ &\quad - H^2 \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\beta} g_{\gamma\delta} G''(y) - 3 H^2 \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\beta}} g_{\gamma\delta)} G''(y) \\ &\quad + 6 H^2 g_{(\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\gamma} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\delta)}} (2-y) G'''(y) + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\beta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\gamma} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\delta} G''''(y) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Substituting (103-106) into (101) and comparing with Table 1 gives,

$$\begin{aligned} K \mathcal{C}^1_2(y) &= -\frac{1}{4} (4y-y^2)^2 G'''' - \frac{1}{2} (D+1)(2-y)(4y-y^2) G''' \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{4} D(D+1)(4y-y^2) G'' - \frac{(D-2)D(D+1)}{D-1} \, G'' \; , \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \mathcal{C}^2_2(y) &= \frac{1}{2} (2-y)(4y-y^2) G'''' - (D+1)(4y-y^2) G''' \\ &\qquad + \frac{2D(D+1)}{D-1} \, G''' - \frac{1}{2} D(D+1)(2-y) G'' \; , \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \mathcal{C}^3_2(y) &= -\Bigl(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Bigr) G'''' + \frac{1}{4} (4y-y^2) G'''' + \frac{1}{2} (D+1)(2-y) G''' \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{4} D(D+1) G'' \; , \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

$$K \mathcal{C}^4_2(y) = -\frac{1}{D-1} \, (4y-y^2) G'''' - 2 \Bigl(\frac{D+1}{D-1}\Bigr) (2-y) G''' + \frac{D(D+1)}{D-1} \, G'' \; , \tag{110}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \mathcal{C}^5_2(y) &= \frac{1}{D-1} \, (4y-y^2)^2 G'''' + 2 \Bigl(\frac{D+1}{D-1}\Bigr) (2-y)(4y-y^2) G''' \\ &\qquad - \frac{D(D+1)}{D-1} \, (4y-y^2) G'' + \frac{4(D-2)(D+1)}{D-1} \, G'' \; , \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

where the constant prefactor is $K \equiv 4(D-2)^2/(D-3)^2$.

k	$[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^k_{\rho\sigma}](x;z)$
6	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^{\nu)} \partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^{\nu)} \partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}$
7	$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^{\nu)} \partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}$
8	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\sigma}}$
9	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu)}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}$
10	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu)}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}$
11	$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma}}$
12	$H^2[\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu)}} g_{\rho\sigma}(z) + g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}}]$
13	$H^2[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\rho\sigma}(z) + g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma}}]$
14	$H^2[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\rho\sigma}(z) - g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma}}]$

Table 2: de Sitter breaking basis tensors for $i[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\rho\sigma}](x;z)$. See Table 1 for the five de Sitter invariant basis tensors.

5 de Sitter Breaking in the Full Propagator

In this section we act the appropriate differential projectors on the de Sitter breaking parts of the two structure functions to obtain explicit results. The final answer involves 14 basis tensors multiplied by coefficient functions which depend upon $u \equiv \ln(a_x a_z)$, $v \equiv \ln(a_x/a_z)$ and $y(x;z)$,

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm br}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) = \sum_{k=1}^{14} \Big[\delta\mathcal{C}^k_0(u,v,y) + \delta\mathcal{C}^k_2(u,v,y)\Big] \times \Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^k_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) \; . \qquad (112)$$

The first five basis tensors are the invariant ones listed in Table 1. The remaining nine are noninvariant and involve the two derivatives of u which were introduced in (15). These extra basis tensors are given in Table 2.

5.1 Spin Zero Part

The de Sitter breaking part of the spin zero contribution is,

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{{\rm br},0}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) = \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}(x) \times \mathcal{P}_{\rho\sigma}(z) \Big[\delta S_0(u,v,y)\Big] \; . \qquad (113)$$

Recall that $\delta S_0(u,v,y)$ has the general form,

$$f(u,v,y) = f_1(u) + f_2(u)(y-2) + f_3(u)\cosh(v) \; . \qquad (114)$$

Two useful preliminary results are,

$$\frac{D^2 f(u,v,y)}{Dx^\mu Dx^\nu} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{(\mu}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu)}} \times 2f_2' + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\nu} \Biggl\{ \Bigl[-f_1' + f_1''\Bigr]$$
$$+ \Bigl[-f_2' + f_2''\Bigr](y-2) + \Bigl[f_3 - f_3' + f_3''\Bigr] \cosh(v) + \Bigl[-f_3 + 2f_3''\Bigr] \sinh(v) \Biggr\}$$
$$+ H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x) \Biggl\{ -f_1' + \Bigl[-f_2 - f_2'\Bigr](y-2) - f_3' \cosh(v) - f_3 \sinh(v) \Biggr\}, \qquad (115)$$
$$\frac{D^2 f(u,v,y)}{Dz^\rho Dz^\sigma} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\rho}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^{\sigma)}} \times 2f_2' + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\sigma} \Biggl\{ \Bigl[-f_1' + f_1''\Bigr]$$
$$+ \Bigl[-f_2' + f_2''\Bigr](y-2) + \Bigl[f_3 - f_3' + f_3''\Bigr] \cosh(v) + \Bigl[f_3 - 2f_3''\Bigr] \sinh(v) \Biggr\}$$
$$+ H^2 g_{\rho\sigma}(z) \Biggl\{ -f_1' + \Bigl[-f_2 - f_2'\Bigr](y-2) - f_3' \cosh(v) + f_3 \sinh(v) \Biggr\}. \qquad (116)$$

Tracing (115) and setting it equal to the trace of (116) implies an identity which is obeyed by all the de Sitter breaking terms,

$$f_2'(u) = \frac{1}{2} \Bigl[b_A f_3(u) + f_3'(u) \Bigr] . \qquad (117)$$

The next step is to treat the right hand side of (115) as the source on the left hand side of (116) to infer the result for acting four derivatives. Now employ these identities in expression (47) and read off the coefficient of each of the 14 basis tensors. The nonzero ones are,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^5(u,v,y) = f_1^W - 2(f_1^{MW})' + (f_1^{S_0})''$$
$$+ \Biggl[\Bigl(f_2^{S_0} - 2f_2^{MW} + f_2^W \Bigr) + 2\Bigl(f_2^{S_0} - f_2^{MW} \Bigr)' + (f_2^{S_0})'' \Biggr](y-2)$$
$$+ \Biggl[-f_3^{S_0} + f_3^W - 2(f_3^{MW})' + (f_3^{S_0})'' \Biggr] \cosh(v) , \qquad (118)$$
$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^7(u,v,y) = 4(f_2^{S_0})'' , \qquad (119)$$
$$\delta\mathcal{C}^{10}(u,v,y) = -2(f_2^{S_0})'' + 2(f_2^{S_0})''' , \qquad (120)$$
$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^{11}(u,v,y) = (f_1^{S_0})'' - 2(f_1^{S_0})''' + (f_1^{S_0})''''$$
$$+ \Biggl[(f_2^{S_0})'' - 2(f_2^{S_0})''' + (f_2^{S_0})'''' \Biggr](y-2)$$

$$+\Big[2(f_3^{S_0})'-(f_3^{S_0})''-2(f_3^{S_0})'''+(f_3^{S_0})''''\Big]\cosh(v)\;, \tag{121}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^{12}(u,v,y)=2\Big(-f_2^{S_0}+f_2^{MW}\Big)'-2(f_2^{S_0})''\;, \tag{122}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{C}^{13}(u,v,y)&=-(f_1^{MW})'+\Big(f_1^{S_0}+f_1^{MW}\Big)''-(f_1^{S_0})'''\\&\quad+\Big[\Big(f_2^{S_0}-f_2^{MW}\Big)'+(f_2^{MW})''-(f_2^{S_0})'''\Big](y-2)\\&\quad+\Big[-f_3^{S_0}+f_3^{MW}+\Big(f_3^{S_0}-f_3^{MW}\Big)'+\Big(f_3^{S_0}+f_3^{MW}\Big)''-(f_3^{S_0})'''\Big]\cosh(v)\;,\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^{14}(u,v,y)=\Big[f_3^{S_0}-f_3^{MW}+2(f_3^{MW})'-(f_3^{S_0})''\Big]\sinh(v)\;. \tag{124}$$

The final step is to substitute the Section 3.1 results (66), (68-70) and (72-74) into relations (118-124). One surprising consequence is that all the de Sitter breaking contributions from the W-type propagator cancel out. These are homogeneous solutions of the spin zero propagator equation. The nonzero contributions derive from the M-type propagator. For the values $k=5$, $k=11$ and $k=13$ the coefficients take the form,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{C}_0^k(u,v,y)=\frac{k_M}{H^4}\Bigg\{&\Big[(u+C_M)A_1^k+B_1^k\Big]\times e^{(b_M-b_A)u}+\Big[(u+C_M)A_2^k+B_2^k\Big]\\&\times e^{(b_M-b_W)u}\times(y-2)+\Big[(u+C_M)A_3^k+B_3^k\Big]\times e^{(b_M-b_W)u}\times\cosh(v)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

For $k=7$, $k=10$ and $k=12$ only the f_2 terms contribute,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^k(u,v,y)=\frac{k_M}{H^4}\Big[(u+C_M)A_2^k+B_2^k\Big]\times e^{(b_M-b_W)u}\;. \tag{126}$$

And the final coefficient takes the form,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_0^{14}(u,v,y)=\frac{k_M}{H^4}\Big[(u+C_M)A_3^k+B_3^k\Big]\times e^{(b_M-b_W)u}\times\sinh(v)\;. \tag{127}$$

Tables 3-4 list the constants A_{1-3}^k and B_{1-3}^k for each of the nonzero coefficients.

Constants	Values
A_1^5	$\frac{b_A-b_M}{2b_Ab_M}$
B_1^5	$\frac{1+6b_A+2b_A^2+(-4-2b_A)b_M}{2(D-2)b_Ab_M}$
A_2^5	$\frac{4b_A+2b_A^2-2b_Ab_M}{8b_A^2b_M(b_M-b_W)}$
B_2^5	$\frac{-22b_A-74b_A^2-48b_A^3-8b_A^4+(2+26b_A+32b_A^2+8b_A^3)b_M}{8(D-2)b_A^2b_M(b_M-b_W)^2}$
A_3^5	$\frac{6b_A+2b_A^2+(-2-2b_A)b_M}{4b_A^2b_M(b_M-1)}$
B_3^5	$\frac{-2-48b_A-84b_A^2-36b_A^3-4b_A^4+(6+44b_A+28b_A^2+4b_A^3)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2b_M(b_M-1)^2}$
A_2^7	$\frac{-1-b_A+b_M}{2b_A^2b_M}$
B_2^7	$\frac{-1-6b_A-2b_A^2+(2+2b_A)b_M}{2(D-2)b_A^2b_M}$
A_2^{10}	$\frac{2+7b_A+2b_A^2+(-3-2b_A)b_M}{4b_A^2b_M}$
B_2^{10}	$\frac{3+20b_A+18b_A^2+4b_A^3+(-6-10b_A-4b_A^2)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2b_M}$
A_1^{11}	$\frac{9b_A+16b_A^2+4b_A^3+(-1-8b_A-4b_A^2)b_M}{2b_Ab_M}$
B_1^{11}	$\frac{1+22b_A+40b_A^2+36b_A^3+8b_A^4+(-4-16b_A-20b_A^2-8b_A^3)b_M}{2(D-2)b_Ab_M}$
A_2^{11}	$\frac{-4-28b_A-22b_A^2-4b_A^3+(8+14b_A+4b_A^2)b_M}{8b_A^2b_M}$
B_2^{11}	$\frac{-8-70b_A-90b_A^2-48b_A^3-8b_A^4+(18+42b_A+32b_A^2+8b_A^3)b_M}{8(D-2)b_A^2b_M}$
A_3^{11}	$\frac{50b_A+110b_A^2+56b_A^3+8b_A^4+(-6-46b_A-40b_A^2-8b_A^3)b_M}{4b_A^2b_M(b_M-1)}$
B_3^{11}	$\frac{-6-168b_A-720b_A^2-1060b_A^3-668b_A^4-176b_A^5-16b_A^6}{4(D-2)b_A^2b_M(b_M-1)^2}$ $+\frac{(22+204b_A+460b_A^2+412b_A^3+144b_A^4+16b_A^5)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2b_M(b_M-1)^2}$
A_2^{12}	$\frac{b_A-b_M}{4b_A^2b_M}$
B_2^{12}	$\frac{1+6b_A+2b_A^2+(-2-2b_A)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2b_M}$

Table 3: The nonzero constants of A_{1-3}^k and B_{1-3}^k in expressions (125-127) for $\delta\mathcal{C}_0^k(u,v,y)$.

Constants	Values
A_1^{13}	$\frac{5b_A+2b_A^2+(-1-2b_A)b_M}{2b_A b_M}$
B_1^{13}	$\frac{1+16b_A+16b_A^2+4b_A^3+(-4-8b_A-4b_A^2)b_M}{2(D-2)b_A b_M}$
A_2^{13}	$\frac{-6b_A-2b_A^2+(2+2b_A)b_M}{8b_A^2 b_M}$
B_2^{13}	$\frac{-1-11b_A-9b_A^2-2b_A^3+(3+5b_A+2b_A^2)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2 b_M}$
A_3^{13}	$\frac{20b_A+20b_A^2+4b_A^3+(-4-12b_A-4b_A^2)b_M}{4b_A^2 b_M(b_M-1)}$
B_3^{13}	$\frac{-4-104b_A-302b_A^2-256b_A^3-80b_A^4-8b_A^5+(14+108b_A+144b_A^2+64b_A^3+8b_A^4)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2 b_M(b_M-1)^2}$
A_3^{14}	$\frac{-6b_A-2b_A^2+(2+2b_A)b_M}{4b_A^2 b_M(b_M-1)}$
B_3^{14}	$\frac{2+56b_A+86b_A^2+36b_A^3+4b_A^4+(-8-44b_A-28b_A^2-4b_A^3)b_M}{4(D-2)b_A^2 b_M(b_M-1)^2}$

Table 4: The nonzero constants of A_{1-3}^k and B_{1-3}^k in expressions (125-127) for $\delta\mathcal{C}_0^k(u,v,y)$.

5.2 Spin Two Part

The de Sitter breaking contribution from the spin two part is,

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm br,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;z) = \frac{1}{4H^4}\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \times \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)\Big[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}(x;z)\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}(x;z)\delta S_2(u)\Big] \; . \tag{128}$$

In acting the first projector $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ we can use the result (94) from the previous section, which is valid without regard to the spacetime dependence of the structure function. Because the additional simplification (98) only applies for de Sitter invariant functions of y, we instead express (94) in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-3}\Big)\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x)\Big[\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\lambda}F\Big] &= \text{Trace Terms} \\ &+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\times G_A + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda}\times(G_B)_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda)}\times(G_C)_{\alpha\nu} \\ &+\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda}\times(G_D)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\times(G_E)_{\nu} + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\times(G_F)_{\mu\nu\alpha} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

To save space, the right hand side of (129) is understood to be symmetrized on μ and ν, which is relevant to the 3rd and 5th terms. The six G_I's are,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_A &= -\Box_x^2 F - \frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} H^2 \Box_x F \; , && (130)\\
(G_B)_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{D\!-\!1}\Box_x^2 F - \frac{1}{D\!-\!1} D_\mu D_\nu \Box_x F \\
&\quad - \frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} H^2 g_{\mu\nu} \Box_x F + \frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)^2}{D\!-\!1} H^2 D_\mu D_\nu F \; , && (131)\\
(G_C)_{\nu\alpha} &= 2 D_\nu D_\alpha \Box_x F + \frac{2(D\!-\!2)D}{D\!-\!1} H^2 D_\nu D_\alpha F \; , && (132)\\
(G_D)_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &= -\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{D\!-\!1} D_\alpha D_\beta \Box F - \Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!2}{D\!-\!1}\Bigr) D_\alpha D_\beta D_\mu D_\nu F \\
&\qquad\qquad -2\Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!2}{D\!-\!1}\Bigr) H^2 g_{\mu\nu} D_\alpha D_\beta F \; , && (133)\\
(G_E)_\nu &= \frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} D_\nu \Box_x F + (D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1) H^2 D_\nu F \; , && (134)\\
(G_F)_{\mu\nu\alpha} &= -\frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} D_{(\mu} D_{\nu)} D_\alpha F \; . && (135)
\end{aligned}$$

The first four terms of (129) involve two factors of $\mathcal{R}$. The result of acting $\mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)$ on these terms can be read off expression (98) by merely interchanging x^μ with z^μ and their respective index groups. The final two terms of (129) involve a factor of $\mathcal{R}$ times one of $\partial y/\partial z$. Acting $\mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)$ on these terms requires some new identities such as,

$$D_\rho \Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} G\Bigr] = \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} G + H^2 (2\!-\!y) g_{\rho\lambda} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} G + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} \frac{DG}{Dz^\rho} \; . \qquad (136)$$

The Appendix gives 7 related identities which allow us to derive,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!2}{D\!-\!3}\Bigr) \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z) \Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} G\Bigr] = \\
&\Bigl[-\delta^\kappa_{(\rho} \delta^\lambda_{\sigma)} g^{\theta\phi} + \frac{g_{\rho\sigma} g^{\kappa\lambda} g^{\theta\phi}}{D\!-\!1} - \frac{\delta^\theta_{(\rho} \delta^\phi_{\sigma)} g^{\kappa\lambda}}{D\!-\!1}\Bigr] \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} D_\theta D_\phi \Box_z G \\
&-\frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} \Bigl[\delta^\kappa_{(\rho} \delta^\lambda_{\sigma)} g^{\theta\phi} + g_{\rho\sigma} g^{\kappa\lambda} g^{\theta\phi} - (D\!+\!1) \delta^\theta_{(\rho} \delta^\phi_{\sigma)} g^{\kappa\lambda}\Bigr] \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda} H^2 D_\theta D_\phi G \\
&+\frac{(D\!-\!2)(D\!+\!1)}{D\!-\!1} \Bigl[\delta^\kappa_{(\rho} \delta^\lambda_{\sigma)} g^{\theta\phi} - \delta^\theta_\rho \delta^\phi_\sigma g^{\kappa\lambda}\Bigr] \Bigl\{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa} + (2\!-\!y) H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\Bigr\} D_\lambda D_\theta D_\phi G
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{(D-2)(D+1)}{D-1}\Big[D\delta^{\kappa}_{(\rho}\delta^{\lambda}_{\sigma)}-g_{\rho\sigma}g^{\kappa\lambda}\Big]\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}+(2-y)H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\Big\}H^2 D_{\lambda}G$$

$$+\Big[2g^{\theta(\kappa}\delta^{\lambda)}_{(\rho}\delta^{\phi}_{\sigma)}g^{\psi\chi}-\frac{g_{\rho\sigma}g^{\theta\kappa}g^{\phi\lambda}g^{\psi\chi}}{D-1}-\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\delta^{\psi}_{(\rho}\delta^{\chi}_{\sigma)}g^{\theta(\kappa}g^{\lambda)\phi}\Big]\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}D_{\theta}D_{\phi}$$

$$\times D_{\psi}D_{\chi}G+2\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\Big[Dg^{\theta(\kappa}\delta^{\lambda)}_{(\rho}\delta^{\phi}_{\sigma)}-g_{\rho\sigma}g^{\theta\kappa}g^{\phi\lambda}\Big]\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}H^2 D_{\theta}D_{\phi}G \;. \tag{137}$$

The next step is to act the various derivatives of $F(u) = \delta S_2(u)/4H^4$. There is always at least one derivative with respect to each coordinate, so the simplest case is,

$$D^x_{\alpha}D^z_{\kappa}F = u_{\alpha}u_{\kappa}F'' \;. \tag{138}$$

There can be up two four derivatives with respect to each coordinate, making for ten cases. These are given in the Appendix.

After acting the derivatives one makes use of the tensor contraction identities of section 2.1 and extracts the coefficient of each of the basis tensors from Table 1 and Table 2. Because the intermediate expressions become quite lengthy, the differentiation and tensor operations were performed using the symbolic manipulation program Mathematica. The final result is that the nonzero coefficient functions are all proportional to $\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2$,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^5_2 = -\frac{4}{D-1}\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \quad , \quad \delta\mathcal{C}^9_2 = +2\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \;, \tag{139}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^6_2 = -2\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \quad , \quad \delta\mathcal{C}^{10}_2 = +2(2-y)\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \;, \tag{140}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^7_2 = -2(2-y)\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \quad , \quad \delta\mathcal{C}^{11}_2 = \Big[-\frac{4}{D-1}+(2-y)^2\Big]\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \;, \tag{141}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^8_2 = +1\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \quad , \quad \delta\mathcal{C}^{13}_2 = -\frac{4}{D-1}\times\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 \;. \tag{142}$$

The coefficient function $\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2$ involves derivatives of the Sitter breaking part of the spin two structure function $\delta S_2(u)$, given in (84),

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2 = \frac{1}{4H^4}\times\frac{1}{4}\Big(\frac{D-3}{D-2}\Big)^2\times\frac{1}{4}(D-2)^2(D-1)^2H^4 \times\Big\{\delta S''_2(u)-\Big[2-\frac{2}{D-2}-\frac{2}{D-1}\Big]\delta S'''_2(u)\Big\}, \tag{143}$$

$$= \frac{k}{2H^4}\Big\{\ln(4a_x a_z)+2\psi\Big(\frac{D-1}{2}\Big)-4+\frac{1}{D-1}\Big\}. \tag{144}$$

Recall that the constant k was defined in (62).

Great simplifications arise when the various spin 2 de Sitter breaking terms are combined. For example, from Tables 1 and 2 we see that the terms proportional to $-4/(D-1)$ sum up to give,

$$-\frac{4\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2}{D\!-\!1}\Biggl\{\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^5_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]+\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{11}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]+\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{13}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]\Biggr\}=2H^4\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2\times-\frac{2}{D\!-\!1}g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}(x)g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma}(z)\;. \tag{145}$$

Here and henceforth we define the purely spatial, tangent space metric,

$$g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}(x)\equiv g_{\mu\nu}(x)+\frac{1}{H^2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\nu}=a_x^2\Bigl[\eta_{\mu\nu}+\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\nu\Bigr]\;. \tag{146}$$

An even greater simplification attends the remaining terms,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2\Biggl\{&\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^1_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]-2\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^6_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]-2(2\!-\!y)\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^7_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]\\&+\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^8_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]+2\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^9_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]+2(2\!-\!y)\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{10}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]+(2\!-\!y)^2\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{11}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr]\Biggr\}, \qquad (147)\\&=2H^4\delta\mathcal{C}^1_2\times\Bigl[\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma}+\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\rho}\Bigr]\;. \qquad (148)\end{aligned}$$

The purely spatial version of $\mathcal{R}$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho}(x;z)&\equiv-\frac{1}{2H^2}\Biggl\{\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^\mu\partial z^\rho}-\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\rho}-(2\!-\!y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\rho}\Biggr\}\;, \qquad (149)\\&=a_xa_z\Bigl[\eta_{\mu\rho}+\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\rho\Bigr]\;. \qquad (150)\end{aligned}$$

Combining expressions (144), (145) and (148) gives our final form for the spin 2 de Sitter breaking part,

$$\begin{aligned}i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm br,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;z)=k\Biggl[\ln(4a_xa_z)+2\psi\Bigl(\frac{D\!-\!1}{2}\Bigr)-4+\frac{1}{D\!-\!1}\Biggr]&\\\times\Biggl\{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma}+\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma}-\frac{2}{D\!-\!1}\,g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma}\Biggr\}&. \qquad (151)\end{aligned}$$

A few comments about (151) derive from simple properties of the tangent space tensors $g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}$. First, note the trace identities,

$$g^{\perp}_{\alpha\mu}\times g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}\times g^{\perp}_{\beta\nu}=D\!-\!1\qquad,\qquad\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho}\times g^{\mu\nu}\times\mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma}=g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma}\;. \tag{152}$$

These relations imply that (151) is traceless. Covariant differentiation with respect to x^{α} yields,

$$D_{\alpha} g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} = -2 g^{\perp}_{\alpha(\mu} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\nu)}} \qquad , \qquad D_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\mu}} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\alpha\rho} \; . \tag{153}$$

(Of course similar results apply to differentiation with respect to z^{κ}.) Together with (152), and the orthogonality with respect to derivatives of u, relation (153) implies that (151) is transverse.

Finally, it is interesting to compare (151) with the infrared logarithm part of the only propagator so far used to make loop computations [9, 10]. That result was derived in a noncovariant, average gauge which is not subject to the topological obstacle [13] because it cannot be extended beyond the open coordinate submanifold. When the multiplied by $a_x^2 a_z^2$ to account for conformally rescaling the graviton field the infrared logarithm part of that propagator is [9, 10],

$$k \ln(a_x a_z) \Bigl\{ \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\rho} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma} + \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\sigma} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{2}{D\!-\!3} \, g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma} \Bigr\} \; . \tag{154}$$

Note that the mixed index $(\mathcal{R}_{\mu\rho}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\sigma} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\rho})$ parts of (154) agree precisely with those of (151). The unmixed part of (154) includes contributions from the gauge-dependent, spin 0 part so there is no reason they should agree in different gauges. But the spin 2 parts should be gauge independent, and they do seem to be.

6 The Coincidence Limit

"Coincidence" means taking the coordinate z^{μ} equal to x^{μ} in $i[_{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\rho\sigma}](x;z)$. Table 5 gives the coincidence limit of each of the 14 basis tensors. The de Sitter length function $y(x,z)$ vanishes at coincidence. The rules of dimensional regularization imply that all D-dependent powers of y vanish as well [27]. Coincidence also makes the variable $v = \ln(\frac{a_x}{a_z})$ go to zero, which implies $\cosh(v) \rightarrow 1$ and $\sinh(v) \rightarrow 0$. The variable $u = \ln(a_x a_z)$ goes to $2\ln(a)$ at coincidence.

It is now just a matter of taking a few simple limits and combining results from previous sections. For example, Table 5 implies that only the first and fifth of the de Sitter invariant tensors are nonzero at coincidence. We can therefore read off the de Sitter invariant part of the spin zero contribution

k	$[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{k}_{\rho\sigma}](x;x)$	k	$[{}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{T}^{k}_{\rho\sigma}](x;x)$
1	$4H^4 g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu}$	8	0
2	0	9	0
3	0	10	0
4	0	11	$H^4 a^4 \delta^0_\mu \delta^0_\nu \delta^0_\rho \delta^0_\sigma$
5	$H^4 g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\sigma}$	12	0
6	0	13	$H^4[a^2\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\nu g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\nu}a^2\delta^0_\rho\delta^0_\sigma]$
7	$-2H^4a^2\delta^0_{(\mu}g_{\nu)(\rho}\delta^0_{\sigma)}$	14	$H^4[a^2\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\nu g_{\rho\sigma} - g_{\mu\nu}a^2\delta^0_\rho\delta^0_\sigma]$

Table 5: Coincidence limits of each basis tensor.

by first consulting expressions (87) and (91) then expressions (50), (53) and (57),

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\mathrm{dS},0}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;x) = 4H^4 g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} \times \mathcal{C}^1_0(0) + H^4 g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \mathcal{C}^5_0(0) \tag{155}$$

$$= 4H^4 g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} \times 2S_0''(0) + H^4 g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \Bigg\{ -2S_0'(0) + 4S_0''(0) - \frac{8MW'(0)}{(D-1)H^2} - \frac{2W(0)}{(D-2)(D-1)H^4} \Bigg\}, \tag{156}$$

$$= g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} \times \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\Big\{ -(S_0)^b_2 \Big\} + g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\Big(\frac{D-2}{D-1}\Big)\Bigg\{ \frac{(S_0)^b_1}{2} - \frac{(S_0)^b_2}{2} + \frac{2(MW)^b_1}{D-2} + \frac{W_2 - 2W_1}{(D-2)^2} \Bigg\}. \tag{157}$$

The de Sitter invariant part of the spin two contribution follows similarly from expressions (107) and (111),

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\mathrm{dS},2}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;x) = 4H^4 g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} \times \mathcal{C}^1_2(0) + H^4 g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \mathcal{C}^5_2(0) \tag{158}$$

$$= 4H^4 g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} \times -\frac{(D-3)^2 D(D+1)}{4(D-2)(D-1)}\, G''(0) + H^4 g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \frac{(D-3)^2(D+1)}{(D-2)(D-1)}\, G''(0)\; . \tag{159}$$

From the definition (100) of $G(y)$ in terms of $S_2(y)$, and the expansion (77) of $S_2(y)$, we find,

$$G''(0) = -(D{+}4)(D{+}6)S_2''''(0) + 3(D{+}1)(D{+}4)S_2'''(0) - \frac{3}{2}D(D{+}1)S_2''(0) \; ,$$
$$= 3\Bigl(\frac{D{-}2}{D{-}3}\Bigr)^2 \frac{H^{D-6}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\Biggl\{(D{+}4)(D{+}6)(S_2)^b_4$$
$$-3(D{+}1)(D{+}4)(S_2)^b_3 + 2D(D{+}1)(S_2)^b_2\Biggr\} . \tag{160}$$

The tensor structure in (159) is dictated by tracelessness so we can write the final result as,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm dS,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;x) = \Bigl[-D g_{\mu(\rho}g_{\sigma)\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr] \times \frac{H^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}}\frac{3(D{-}2)(D{+}1)}{D{-}1}$$
$$\times\Biggl\{(D{+}4)(D{+}6)(S_2)^b_4 - 3(D{+}1)(D{+}4)(S_2)^b_3 + 2D(D{+}1)(S_2)^b_2\Biggr\} . \tag{161}$$

The coefficients $(S_2)^b_n$ are given in (81).

The de Sitter invariant contributions (157) and (161) to the coincidence limit are divergent constants times products of the metric. In contrast, the de Sitter breaking terms give time dependence. This is most evident in the de Sitter breaking contribution from the spin zero part,

$$i\Bigl[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm br,0}_{\rho\sigma}\Bigr](x;x) = H^4 g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} \times \delta\mathcal{C}^5_0(u,0,0) - 2H^4 a^2 \delta^0_{(\mu}g_{\nu)(\rho}\delta^0_{\sigma)} \times \delta\mathcal{C}^7_0(u,0,0)$$
$$+H^4 a^4 \delta^0_\mu \delta^0_\nu \delta^0_\rho \delta^0_\sigma \times \delta\mathcal{C}^{11}_0(u,0,0) + H^4\Bigl[a^2\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\nu g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\nu}a^2\delta^0_\rho\delta^0_\sigma\Bigr]\times\delta\mathcal{C}^{13}_0(u,0,0) \; . \tag{162}$$

For $k = 5$, 11 and 13 the coincident coefficient functions are,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^k_0(u,0,0) = \frac{k_M}{H^4}\Biggl\{\Bigl[(u{+}C_M)A^k_1 + B^k_1\Bigr]e^{(b_M-b_A)u}$$
$$+\Bigl[(u{+}C_M)(A^k_3 - 2A^k_2) + B^k_3 - 2B^k_2\Bigr]e^{(b_M-b_W)u}\Biggr\} . \tag{163}$$

And for $k = 7$ we have,

$$\delta\mathcal{C}^7_0(u,0,0) = \frac{k_M}{H^4}\Bigl[(u{+}C_M)A^k_2 + B^k_2\Bigr]e^{(b_M-b_W)u} \; . \tag{164}$$

Recall the definition (43) of b_A, b_W and b_M, and the definition (62) of k_M. We define $C_M \equiv 2\psi(b_M) + 2\ln(2)$, and the constants A^k_{1-3} and B^k_{1-3} can be found on Tables 3-4. It is worth noting that there is no possibility of cancelations: de Sitter breaking in the graviton propagator is a real and inevitable phenomenon.

The de Sitter breaking contribution from the spin two part (151) was much harder to derive, but its coincidence limit is vastly simpler. Note first the coincidence limits of the tangent space tensors (146) and (150),

$$\lim_{z\to x} g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma}(z) = g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv g_{\rho\sigma}(x) + a_x^2 \delta^0_\rho \delta^0_\sigma \; , \tag{165}$$

$$\lim_{z\to x} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}(x;z) = g^{\perp}_{\mu\rho}(x) \; . \tag{166}$$

Combining (151) with (165-166) implies,

$$i\Big[{}_{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\rm br,2}_{\rho\sigma}\Big](x;x) = k\Bigg[2\ln(2a) + 2\psi\Big(\frac{D-1}{2}\Big) - 4 + \frac{1}{D-1}\Bigg]\Bigg\{2g^{\perp}_{\mu(\rho}g^{\perp}_{\sigma)\nu} - \frac{2g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}g^{\perp}_{\rho\sigma}}{D-1}\Bigg\}. \tag{167}$$

7 Discussion

The goal of this paper has been to facilitate dimensional regularization computations of graviton loop diagrams on de Sitter background using the de Donder gauge propagator of [19]. The form of that propagator was summarized in section 2.4. Recall that it consists of a spin zero part (37) and a spin two part (39). Each part consists of a differential projector at each coordinate — these projectors are given in expressions (38) and (40), respectively — acting on the appropriate structure function. Each structure function has a de Sitter invariant and a de Sitter breaking part. Explicit series expansions for the de Sitter invariant parts were derived in expressions (57) and (77), respectively. Explicit expressions for the de Sitter breaking parts of each structure function were derived in expressions (71) and (84), respectively.

To obtain a completely explicit expression for the graviton propagator one must act the differential projectors on each structure function. In our view, this step is unlikely to be necessary in most real computations because there will be great simplifications when free indices are contracted. We have therefore contented ourselves with representing the de Sitter invariant contributions as linear combinations of the five basis tensors in Table 1 times coefficients which are expressed in terms of derivatives of the de Sitter invariant parts of the structure functions. These coefficients are expressions (87-91) and (107-111), respectively.

For the de Sitter breaking terms we derived completely explicit expressions to emphasize that acting the differential projectors does not annihilate them. The tensor structure consists of the five de Sitter invariant basis tensors of Table 1 plus the nine de Sitter breaking basis tensors of Table 2. The coefficient functions for the spin zero are quite complicated, taking the form described in expressions (125-127), with the coefficients given in Table 3-4. The de Sitter breaking contributions to the spin two part were more difficult to derive but vastly simpler to state: they are given by equation (151). The infrared logarithm on the mixed index parts of this expression agrees precisely with the result obtained long ago, in a noncovariant, average gauge [9, 10]. The same is likely to hold in any gauge for which the cosmological symmetries of homogeneity and isotropy are preserved.

The de Sitter invariant contributions to the coincidence limit of the graviton propagator are given by expressions (157) and (161). It consists of divergent constants times products of the de Sitter metric. In contrast, both de Sitter breaking contributions show time dependence. The contribution from the spin zero part is given by relation (162). The powers of the scale factor which are evident in expressions (163) and (164) have no analogue in the one other gauge for which a reliable solution for the complete propagator exists [9, 10], so they can be regarded as peculiarities of de Donder gauge. However, the contribution (167) from the spin two part shows exactly the same sorts of infrared logarithms as in the other gauge. The appearance of these infrared logarithms in two vastly different gauges supports the view that they are a gauge independent feature of the theory.

The fact that there is a de Sitter breaking contribution even to the spin two part from the propagator seems to contradict the recent claim by Higuchi, Marolf and Morrison [28] that free, dynamical gravitons in synchronous-transverse-traceless gauge are physically de Sitter invariant. Because our de Donder gauge condition is de Sitter invariant, it cannot give rise to any compensating gauge transformation which could cancel the explicit de Sitter breaking we have exhibited. One might worry about de Sitter breaking through the surface gauge conditions which are implicitly imposed in any covariant gauge. However, we have followed the standard practice of simply extending the $i\epsilon$ prescription to the gauge sector [29], and it is difficult to see how that can introduce de Sitter breaking if none was physically present.

We believe the more likely resolution of the disagreement is that two physically different theories are being compared. Canonical quantization of free gravitons in synchronous-transverse-traceless gauge does show de Sitter breaking through the standard infrared divergence of Bunch-Davies vacuum. Higuchi, Marolf and Morrison avoid this by changing what they call “the graviton field” through a nonlocal field redefinition they were rightly careful not to identify as a linearized gauge transformation. Their new field obeys the same equations of motion as the old one but it has different commutation relations [30]. Of course adopting a noncanonical quantization procedure results in a new theory, which is potentially physically different from the original one. One of these differences is that the “propagator” between x^μ and z^μ obeys a sort of dipole equation with a delta function source at $x^\mu = z^\mu$ and compensating anti-sources at temporal infinity [30]. Adding anti-sources to the propagator equation will indeed result in better infrared behavior, but it doesn't seem to be right physically.

It has long been obvious that de Sitter invariant gauges make propagators vastly more complicated than gauges which exploit the conformal flatness of de Sitter [9, 10]. It is easy to quantify this observation by comparing the de Donder gauge result [19] we have studied here with the de Sitter breaking gauge [10] in which all previous loop computations [20, 21, 22, 23] have been done. Both propagators can be expressed as linear combinations of basis tensors times coefficient functions. They differ in three ways:

- Only three basis tensors are needed for the de Sitter breaking gauge, whereas 14 basis tensors are required for the invariant gauge;

- The basis tensors of the de Sitter breaking gauge are constants, whereas those of the invariant gauge are complicated functions of space and time; and

- The three scalar coefficient functions of the de Sitter breaking gauge are just $i\Delta_b(x;z)$ for $b_A = (\frac{D-1}{2})$, $b_B = (\frac{D-3}{2})$ and $b_C = (\frac{D-5}{2})$, whereas the 14 coefficient functions of the invariant gauge are complicated linear combinations of up to two derivatives of $i\Delta_b(x;z)$ with respect to b, evaluated at b_A, b_B, $b_W = (\frac{D+1}{2})$ and $b_M = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(D-1)(D+7)}$.

To appreciate the final point, note that the infinite series contributions in (23) drop out in $D = 4$ for $i\Delta_A(x;z)$, $i\Delta_B(x;z)$ and $i\Delta_C(x;z)$. In fact $i\Delta_A(x;z)$ consists of only two terms in $D = 4$, while $i\Delta_B(x;z)$ agrees with $i\Delta_C(x;z)$ for $D = 4$, and they have only a single term. However, differentiating with respect to b causes the infinite series to contribute, as it does for b_M, even without derivatives. So the coefficient functions of the invariant gauge seem horrifically more complex than those of the de Sitter breaking gauge.

It is interesting to note that even the de Sitter breaking parts of the invariant gauge are considerably more complicated than the single factor of $\ln(a_x a_z)$ which occurs in $i\Delta_A(x;z)$. However, not everything is in favor of the de Sitter breaking gauge. One advantage of the de Donder gauge is that it admits only invariant counterterms. It might also be that, when all the derivatives are acted and all the contractions are performed in an explicit computation involving propagators and vertices, the complicated tensor factors drop out and the horrific structure functions get acted upon by exactly the right differential operators to produce simple results. That sounds like wishful thinking but exactly these simplifications do occur when using the Lorentz gauge photon propagator [24] in one and two loop computations in scalar QED [25].

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Marie Curie Grant IRG-247803, by DFG-Research Training Group "Quantum and Gravitational Fields" GRK 1523, by NWO Veni Project # 680-47-406, by NSF grant PHY-0855021, and by the Institute for Fundamental Theory at the University of Florida.

8 Appendix

We here give a number of relations that are used in sections 4 and 5 to act the transverse-traceless projectors $\mathbf{P}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \times \mathbf{P}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\kappa\lambda}(z)$ on the spin two structure function. The first set of 12 equalities follow from the differentiation and contraction identities of subsection 2.1, and hold for any function F, whether or not it is de Sitter invariant. These 12 relations, which are assumed to be symmetrized on μ and ν where both indices appear, were employed in the derivation of equation (94),

$$\frac{D}{Dx^\alpha}\Bigl[\Bigl(\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\kappa \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\lambda\Bigr)F\Bigr] = (D+1)\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F + 2\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)}\frac{DF}{Dx^\alpha} \; , \quad (168)$$

$$\frac{D}{Dx^\mu}\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F\Bigr] = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}F - 2H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}F + \mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{DF}{Dx^\nu} \; , \quad (169)$$

$$\frac{D}{Dx^\mu}\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)}F\Bigr] = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(x)\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F + \frac{1}{2}\delta^\alpha{}_{(\mu}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F + \mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_{\lambda)}\frac{DF}{Dx^\nu} \; , \quad (170)$$

$$\frac{D}{Dx^{\mu}}\Bigl[\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F\Bigr] = -4H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\mu}} \; , \tag{171}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D^2}{Dx^{\alpha}Dx^{\beta}}\Bigl[\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda}F\Bigr] &= -(D\!+\!1)H^2 g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)F + \Bigl(\frac{D\!+\!1}{2}\Bigr)^2\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F \\ &\quad +(D\!+\!1)\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\alpha}} + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda}\frac{D^2F}{Dx^{\alpha}Dx^{\beta}} \; , \end{aligned}\tag{172}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_x\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}F\Bigr] &= \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F - 2H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}F \\ &\quad +\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu}} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\mu}} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}\Box_x F \; , \end{aligned}\tag{173}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_x\Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F\Bigr] &= -(D\!+\!3)H^2\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F \\ &\quad +\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu}} - 4H^2\mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda)}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\alpha}} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\Box_x F \; , \end{aligned}\tag{174}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_x\Bigl[\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F\Bigr] &= 8H^4 g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)F - 2(D\!+\!1)H^2\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F \\ &\quad -8H^2\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\Box_x F \; , \end{aligned}\tag{175}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D^2}{Dx^{\mu}Dx^{\nu}}\Bigl[\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F\Bigr] &= -2H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F + 8H^4\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda}F \\ &\quad -8H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu}} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\frac{D^2F}{Dx^{\mu}Dx^{\nu}} \; , \end{aligned}\tag{176}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D^2}{Dx^{\mu}Dx^{\nu}}\Bigl[\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F\Bigr] &= -H^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x)\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F - 3H^2\delta^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}F \\ &\quad +\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}\delta^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu)}} - 4H^2\mathcal{R}_{\mu(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda)}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu}} + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda)}}\frac{D^2F}{Dx^{\mu}Dx^{\nu}} \; . \end{aligned}\tag{177}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_x\Bigl[\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda)}F\Bigr] &= -2H^2\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda)}F + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(x)\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F \\ &\quad +2\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\kappa}}\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha}{}_{\lambda)}D_x^{\beta)}F + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda)}\Box_x F \; , \end{aligned}\tag{178}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D^2}{Dx^{\mu}Dx^{\nu}}\Bigl[\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda)}F\Bigr] &= -2H^2\delta^{(\alpha}{}_{(\mu}\mathcal{R}_{\nu)(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta)}{}_{\lambda)}F + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu}\delta^{\beta}{}_{\nu)}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}}F \\ &\quad +2\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{(\kappa}}\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha}{}_{\lambda)}\delta^{\beta)}{}_{(\mu}\frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu)}} + \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{(\kappa}\mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda)}\frac{D^2F}{Dx^{(\mu}Dx^{\nu)}} \; . \end{aligned}\tag{179}$$

Eight additional simplifications follow from two assumptions:

- That the result is being acted upon by $\mathbf{P}^{\kappa\lambda}_{\rho\sigma}(z)$, so that we can neglect factors of $g_{\kappa\lambda}(z)$ and total derivatives D/Dz^{κ} or D/Dz^{λ}; and

- The function F depends only upon the de Sitter invariant $y(x;z)$.

To save space we omit the factors of $\mathbf{P}^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\rho\sigma}(z)$ which act on the right and left, and we symmetrize on the indices μ and ν where both appear,

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \Longrightarrow -4H^2 \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \; , \tag{180}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \frac{DF}{Dx^{\nu}} \Longrightarrow 2H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F \; , \tag{181}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \frac{D^3 F}{Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu} Dx^{\alpha}} \Longrightarrow & \; 2H^2 \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \Bigl[\frac{D^2 F}{Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu}} + H^2 g_{\mu\nu} F\Bigr] \\ & + 4H^2 \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\lambda} \frac{D^2 F}{Dx^{\nu} Dx^{\alpha}} + 2H^4 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F \; , \end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \frac{D^2 F}{Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu}} \Longrightarrow -2H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F + H^2 g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} F \; , \tag{183}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} \Box F \Longrightarrow (D-2) H^2 \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} F \; , \tag{184}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda} \frac{D^2 F}{Dx^{\nu} Dx^{\alpha}} \Longrightarrow -H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F \; , \tag{185}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda} \frac{D^2 F}{Dx^{\alpha} Dx^{\beta}} \Longrightarrow -H^2 \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} F \; , \tag{186}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}^{\beta}{}_{\lambda} \frac{D^4 F}{Dx^{\alpha} Dx^{\beta} Dx^{\mu} Dx^{\nu}} \Longrightarrow 2H^4 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} F - H^4 g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\lambda} F \; . \tag{187}$$

These identities were used to derive equation (98).

When the structure function depends on u, rather than y, we cannot make (98). The result we require for that case is equation (137). It can be derived using the following identities,

$$\frac{D}{Dz^{\rho}} \Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} G\Bigr] = \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\rho}} + \frac{g_{\rho\kappa}}{2} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} G + H^2 (2-y) g_{\rho\lambda} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} G \; , \tag{188}$$

$$\frac{D}{Dz^{\rho}} \Bigl[\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}} G\Bigr] = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\rho}} - 2H^2 \mathcal{R}_{\mu\rho} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}} G + H^2 (2-y) g_{\rho\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} G \; , \tag{189}$$

$$\frac{D}{Dz^{\rho}} \Bigl[(2-y) \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} G\Bigr] = (2-y) \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\rho}} - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\rho}} G + \frac{1}{2} (2-y) g_{\rho\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} G \; , \tag{190}$$

$$\frac{D}{Dz^{\rho}} \Bigl[(2-y) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} G\Bigr] = (2-y) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\rho}} - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\rho}} G - 2H^2 (2-y) \mathcal{R}_{\mu\rho} G \; , \tag{191}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_z \Bigl[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} G\Bigr] = & \; \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \Bigl[\Box_z - 2H^2\Bigr] G + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z^{\kappa}} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\lambda}} \\ & + 2H^2 (2-y) \mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa} \frac{DG}{Dz^{\lambda}} + H^2 (2-y) g_{\kappa\lambda} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^{\mu}} G \; , \end{aligned} \tag{192}$$

$$\square_z\Bigl[\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}G\Bigr] = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\Bigl[\square_z-(D+1)H^2\Bigr]G-4H^2\mathcal{R}_\mu{}^\lambda\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{DG}{Dz^\lambda}$$

$$+2H^2(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{DG}{Dz^\kappa}-4H^4(2-y)\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}G \; , \qquad (193)$$

$$\square_z\Bigl[(2-y)\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}G\Bigr] = (2-y)\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\Bigl[\square_z-(D+1)H^2\Bigr]G-2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{DG}{Dz_\lambda}$$

$$+(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{DG}{Dz^\kappa}-\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\kappa}G \; , \qquad (194)$$

$$\square_z\Bigl[(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}G\Bigr] = (2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\Bigl[\square_z-2H^2\Bigr]G-2\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial y}{\partial z^\lambda}\frac{DG}{Dz_\lambda}$$

$$-4H^2(2-y)\mathcal{R}_\mu{}^\lambda\frac{DG}{Dz^\lambda}-2DH^2(2-y)\frac{\partial y}{\partial x^\mu}G \; . \qquad (195)$$

Note that tracing on κ and λ gives relation (191) from (188) and relation (195) from (192).

It remains to act the derivatives. We can save some space by defining the transverse metric,

$$g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}(x) \equiv g_{\alpha\beta}(x) + \frac{1}{H^2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\beta} \quad , \quad g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda}(z) \equiv g_{\kappa\lambda}(z) + \frac{1}{H^2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\kappa}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\lambda} \; . \qquad (196)$$

Another space-saving convention is to assume the x indices are α, β, γ and δ, and that the z indices are κ, λ, θ and ϕ. This allows us to dispense with coordinates, for example,

$$g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}(x) \longrightarrow g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^\alpha} \longrightarrow u_\alpha \quad , \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z^\kappa} \longrightarrow u_\kappa \; . \qquad (197)$$

We can also take advantage of the fact that $F(u)$ is a third order polynomial in u, so one gets zero for F'''' and all higher derivatives. Finally, note that every term contains at least one derivative with respect to x and another with respect to z. The ten cases we require are therefore,

$$D^x_\alpha D^z_\kappa F = u_\alpha u_\kappa F'' \; , \qquad (198)$$

$$D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^z_\kappa F = -H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}u_\kappa F'' + u_\alpha u_\beta u_\kappa F''' \; , \qquad (199)$$

$$D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^z_\kappa F = 2H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta}u_{\gamma)}u_\kappa F'' - 3H^2 g^\perp_{(\alpha\beta}u_{\gamma)}u_\kappa F''' \qquad (200)$$

$$D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^x_\delta D^z_\kappa F = -2\Bigl[H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma}g^\perp_{\delta)\beta}+H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma}u_{\delta)}u_\beta+H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}u_\gamma u_\delta\Bigr]u_\kappa F''$$

$$+\Bigl[3H^4 g^\perp_{(\alpha\beta}g^\perp_{\gamma\delta)}+6H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta}u_\gamma u_{\delta)}+2H^2 g^\perp_{\beta(\gamma}u_{\delta)}u_\alpha\Bigr]u_\kappa F''' \; , \qquad (201)$$

$$D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda F = H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda}F'' - \Bigl[H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta}u_\kappa u_\lambda+H^2 u_\alpha u_\beta g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda}\Bigr]F''' \; , \qquad (202)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda F &= -2H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_{\gamma)} g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} F'' \\
&\qquad + \Big[3H^4 g^\perp_{(\alpha\beta} u_{\gamma)} g_{\kappa\lambda} + 2H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_{\gamma)} u_\kappa u_\lambda \Big] F''' \;, \qquad (203)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^x_\delta D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda F &= 2\Big[H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} + H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta + H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta \Big] g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} F'' \\
&\quad - \Big[3H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} g^\perp_{\gamma\delta)} g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} + 6H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_\gamma u_{\delta)} g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} + 2H^4 g^\perp_{\beta(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\alpha g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} \\
&\qquad + 2H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} u_\kappa u_\lambda + 2H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta u_\kappa u_\lambda + 2H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta u_\kappa u_\lambda \Big] F''' \;, \qquad (204)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda D^z_\theta F &= 4H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_{\gamma)} g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} F'' \\
&\qquad - \Big[6H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_{\gamma)} g^\perp_{(\kappa\lambda} u_{\theta)} + 6H^4 g^\perp_{(\alpha\beta} u_{\gamma)} g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} \Big] F''' \;, \qquad (205)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^x_\delta D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda D^z_\theta F &= -4H^4 \Big[H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} + g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta + g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta \Big] g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} F'' \\
&\quad + \Big[6H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} g^\perp_{(\kappa\lambda} u_{\theta)} + 6H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta g^\perp_{(\kappa\lambda} u_{\theta)} + 6H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta g^\perp_{(\kappa\lambda} u_{\theta)} \\
&\quad + 6H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} g^\perp_{\gamma\delta)} g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} + 12H^4 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_\gamma u_{\delta)} g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} + 4H^4 g^\perp_{\beta(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\alpha g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_{\theta)} \Big] F''' , \qquad (206)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_\alpha D^x_\beta D^x_\gamma D^x_\delta D^z_\kappa D^z_\lambda D^z_\theta D^z_\phi F &= 4H^4 \Big[H^2 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} + g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta + g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta \Big] \\
&\quad \times \Big[H^2 g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} g^\perp_{\phi)\lambda} + g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} u_{\phi)} u_\lambda + g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} u_\theta u_\phi \Big] F'' - \Big[6H^8 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} g^\perp_{\theta\phi)} \\
&\quad + 6H^8 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} g^\perp_{\gamma\delta)} g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} g^\perp_{\phi)\lambda} + 4H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} g^\perp_{\delta)\beta} \Big(g^\perp_{\lambda(\theta} u_{\phi)} u_\kappa + 3 g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_\theta u_{\phi)} \Big) \\
&\quad + 6H^6 \Big(g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta + g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta \Big) g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} g^\perp_{\theta\phi)} + 6H^6 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} g^\perp_{\gamma\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \Big(g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} u_{\phi)} u_\lambda + g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} u_\theta u_\phi \Big) + 4H^6 \Big(3 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_\gamma u_{\delta)} + g_{\beta(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\alpha \Big) g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} g^\perp_{\phi)\lambda} \\
&\quad + 4H^4 \Big(g^\perp_{\alpha(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\beta + g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma u_\delta \Big) \Big(3 g^\perp_{\kappa(\lambda} u_\theta u_{\phi)} + g^\perp_{\lambda(\theta} u_{\phi)} u_\kappa \Big) \\
&\qquad + 4H^4 \Big(3 g^\perp_{\alpha(\beta} u_\gamma u_{\delta)} + g^\perp_{\beta(\gamma} u_{\delta)} u_\alpha \Big) \Big(g^\perp_{\kappa(\theta} u_{\phi)} u_\lambda + g^\perp_{\kappa\lambda} u_\theta u_\phi \Big) \Big] F''' \;. \qquad (207)
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] L. H. Ford and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 245.

[2] T. M Janssen, S. P. Miao, T. Prokopec and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 245013, arXiv:0808.2449.

[3] N. A. Chernikov and E. A Tagirov, Annales Poincaré Phys. Theor. **A9** (1968) 109.

[4] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 83, hep-th/0007166.

[5] A. Vilenkin and L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 1231; A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B116** (1982) 335; A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B117** (1982) 175.

[6] B. Allen and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 3771.

[7] S. P. Miao, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 072503, arXiv:1002.4037.

[8] L. P. Grishchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **40** (1975) 409.

[9] R. P. Woodard, "de Sitter breaking in field theory," in *Deserfest: A celebration of the life and works of Stanley Deser* (World Scientific, Hackensack, 2006) eds. J. T. Liu, M. J. Duff, K. S. Stelle and R. P. Woodard, p. 339, gr-qc/0408002.

[10] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Commun. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 217.

[11] G. Kleppe, Phys. Lett. **B317** (1993) 305.

[12] B. Allen and M. Turyn, Nucl. Phys. **B292** (1987) 813; S. W. Hawking, T. Hertog and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 063502, hep-th/0003016; A. Higuchi and S. S. Kouris, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 4317, gr-qc/0107036; A. Higuchi and R. H. Weeks, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 3006, gr-qc/0212031.

[13] S. P. Miao, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 122505, arXiv:0907.4930.

[14] B. Allen and T. Jacobson, Commun. Math. Phys. **103** (1986) 669.

[15] E. O. Kahya and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 104001, gr-qc/0508015; Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 084012, gr-qc/0608049.

[16] I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1037.

[17] A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 2553, arXiv:0911.2064; Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 3108.

[18] A. Higuchi and Y. C. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 084031, arXiv:0808.0642; M. Faizal and A. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 067502, arXiv:0806.3735.

[19] S. P. Miao, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011) 122301, arXiv:1106.0925.

[20] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 235, hep-ph/9602315, Ann. Phys. **253** (1997) 1, hep-ph/9602316; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 2621, hep-ph/9602317.

[21] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Ann. Phys. **321** (2006) 875, gr-qc/0506089.

[22] S. P. Miao and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) 1721, gr-qc/0511140; Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 024021, gr-qc/0603135; Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 145009, arXiv:0803.2377; S. P. Miao, arXiv:0705.0767.

[23] E. O. Kahya and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 124005, arXiv:0709.0536; Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 084012, arXiv:0710.5281.

[24] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 052306, gr-qc/0608069.

[25] T. Prokopec, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 201, gr-qc/0607094; Annals Phys. **323** (2008) 1324, arXiv:0707.0847; Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 043523, arXiv:0802.3673.

[26] S. Park and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 084049, arXiv:1101.5804.

[27] V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4607, gr-qc/0204065; Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 107301, gr-qc/0406098.

[28] A. Higuchi, D. Marolf and I. A. Morrison, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 245012, arXiv:1107.2712.

[29] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) 841.

[30] S. P. Miao, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 245013, arXiv:1107.4733.